G. R. S. 59] GPB—15,000—22-7-14

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

1892-3
~~191~~
(Jaffna)

File No. 366

Serial Nos.

Papers Filed.

*Month*_____

SUBJECT.

Remuneration payable to the Village Servants of the Sivaganga Temple - & repairs executed to the Sivaganga matt building.

PREVIOUS REFERENCES. LATER REFERENCES.

Memo

The accompanying files in connection with the Sivaganga Mutt and Temple are submitted for orders.

File No. I relates to the minor Inams enjoyed by the village Servants and others in the Inam Villages attached to the Sivaganga Mutt and Temple.

2. When the villages attached to the Sivaganga Mutt were under Govt. management, the Dy. Commissioner of the Bangalore District made a reference to this office in 1882 regarding the

assessment of
alienated lands in the
Inam Villages of the Mut
3. Mr. Ranga Charlu
observed that the only
ground on which the
Government could inte
to bring under full asse
lands enjoyed rent free
in the Villages of the Mutt
was the circumstance of
the Villages having come
to the hands of Governmen
tho' temporarily, owing
to the involved condition
of the Mutt, and that
on such occasions all
alienations of lands impro
made divesting the charita
objects for which they were
granted could be properly
resumed, but if this step
was resorted to in mush

rendered
Village & Temple
& solicited orders
whether the concession
made to the Village Servants of
Nagabulli might be extended
all the three Villages attached
the Mutt.

In reply the late
Dewan remarked that
it was not clear whether
Inams which were
excluded from full
assessment were those
that existed prior to the
grant of the Villages to the
Mutt on Inam tenure
or those subsequently
granted to the Village
Servants & duties by the
Ayens of the Mutt. In the
former case the Inams were
to be considered valid
the

× Vide this Office docket
No. 1608
124 & 13th June/84

be so equally in the
case of all alienations
made by the Mutt &
the Mutt authorities should
not be allowed a voice
as regards continuing
some Inams & disallowing
others. The Dy Commissioner
was requested to bring
under full assessment
all the alienations
improperly made in the
villages of the Mutt.
4. In his letter of the
28th May 1884, No. 218, the
Deputy Commissioner states
that in carrying out
the above orders, he
excluded Service Inam
lands from full assessment
on the ground of their
being the remuneration

his letter No 580 of
1st Nov/82.

× Pro No. 5294/248 & 27-11

ere

ment

hereby

Tele

to the fixed jodi alon
while in the other case
the confirmation of the
Inams must depend
entirely on the necessity
there is for the continuan
of the services for which
With the view of passing final
they were granted - ~~The~~
orders in the case of each Inam, the
Deputy Commissioner
was requested to submit
a statement shewing
the Inams in existence
in the several villages
of the Mutt, the probable
date of grant, the necessity
which exists for their
continuance, & the
nature of the services
rendered by the Inamdars.

6. With his docket
noted in the margin
the D Commissioner

78 & 30 th October/84

submitted the list called
for.

7. Subsequently, the
Inam Villages attached
to the Mutt which were
under Government manage-
ment as security for the
loan advanced to the
Mutt were restored to × Pro: No 6094/479 of 30-1-
the Guru.

8. In December 1885 ⊗ No 931 of 21-12-85.
the Deputy Commissioner
reported that the Patels
and Shanbhogs of the
Sivaganga Mutt asked
for cash payment for
their Services, while the
Guru of the Mutt was
for continuing the Service
Inams in land; & that
as the latter was in consonance
with the orders of Government,

he

the remuneration should be fixed in land in accordance with the wishes of the Guru. Major Macintire recommended the adoption of the same procedure as regards the Inam Villages attached to the Sivaganga Temple which was then under Govt management.

9. The list submitted with his letter No 798 of the 30th October 1884 such being complete, the Deputy Commissioner was requested to submit a General Statement shewing the minor Inams in all the Inam

Office No 484 of September 86.

Villages attached to the
Matt & Temple.

10. In Submitting the
Dish called for, the
Deputy Commissioner
reports that he has in × No 4278 8th Septr/87.
each case, recorded his
opinion as to the continuance
of the Inams or otherwise
& has left a column for
the orders of the Dewan.
Major Macintire submits
that though the agent
of the Matt is against
continuing the personal
Inams, the Inamdars
should not be deprived
of their Inams granted
years back for subsistence
only and not for any
Services to be rendered
to the Matt.

File No II

11. Article No. II again relates to the remuneration of the Village Servants of the Temple.

12 Before, however, going into details, it may be necessary to submit that in 1865 ~~[illegible]~~ His Highness the late Malwa Rajah having noticed that the Services in the Temple were not properly conducted by the two rival Goorooos at that place, ~~[illegible]~~ requested the late Commissioner to transfer the management of the Temple from the hands of the said Gurus and to place it in the hands of the Curidar

the Taluk to be
assisted by a competent
Parpattegar.

13. In this office No 157
of the 23rd January 1865
Mr Bowring requested
the Superintendent of
the late Kandidroog Division
to carry out the Maha
Rajah's wishes.

14. This arrangement
appears to have been
in force till the end of
July 1884, when, the Deputy
Commissioner reported* the dismissal of the then
Part pattegar owing to
his gross misconduct
and solicited sanction
to do away with the posts
of the Parpattegar & Peon
as the temple affairs were
being managed by a
by the Amildar, assisted

* Vide his No 425 of 29th July

No 1028

15. Again on the 10th January 1885, the Deputy Commissioner recommended the payment, out of the Sivaganga Temple Fund of Rs 5 to the Nijagal Sheikdar, for looking after the Revenue collections and cultivation of the Inam Villages attached to the Temple, and Rs 3/- to Shanbhag Mylarnah for doing the clerical work connected with the Temple. This was in lieu of the Purpattegars & Peons whose posts were recommended by the same Officer, to be abolished. (Vide para 14 above)

This man's name is in the Enams list in Ins 207. Peon in Enams: No 20 No. 15 is needed. Ins Ref Inspector's Report

16. Before passing final orders on the

bove proposal, the
Deputy Commissioner's recommendation
~~proposed~~ to re-transfer
the management of the
Sivaguiga Temple to
the Mutt authorities was sanctioned
in Pro: No. 6258 of the
3443
17th December 1886, but
before actually placing
the Guru in possession
of the Inam Villages attached
to the Temple the Deputy
Commissioner was requested
to report whether a Survey
Settlement had been introduced
into them and whether
any disputes between Inamdars
& Ryots existed, which it
would be desirable to settle
finally before the Guru
is given the management
of the Villages.

17. The accompanying precis contains
the result of the Dy Commrs enquiries in the matter.

× Plans
No 461

Memorandum

In the Demand
Proceeding No: 6258
of the 17th December 343
1886, it was decided
that the management
of the Sivaganga
temple in the
Mellamangalam
taluk should be
entrusted to the
Mutt, together
with the Inam village
attached to the temple
It was however
ordered that, before
actually placing the
Guru in possession
of these villages
the Dy: Coll: should
ascertain & report
whether a Survey
Settlement has
been introduced
into those and
whether any dispute
exists

between the Chandars and Ryots court which it would be desirable to settle finally before the Survey is given the management of the villages

The result of the Deputy Comr's enquiry, as gathered from the voluminous papers, is as follows:—

1 The Survey Settlement was introduced into all the above villages in the year 1878/79.

2 The ryots of Sivaganga complain that Bettada Kaval should be retained for the temple. Beyond this one dispute, the ryots have no objection to the proposed

after Fasli 1288

for
hill are situated
Sreeyangadharaswa
Swamy temple and
Gavee Matt Some
time back the latter
claimed 50 acres
out of the Pettado
Kawal; and it was
finally decided
by the Survey Depar
in communication
with the Devaee
that the Gavee
Matt should retain
the said 50 acres of
the Kawal and that
there is no reason
to reopen the question
s Shanbogue Boylaia
claims the restoration
of the Service Enam
lands attached to
his Shanbogue
Office & also
the Stanik Office
which belongs to him
and also prays for
the cash payments
of Potake allowances
since the year 1876-77.

But these are
now in the occupation
of others on full Kandayam

In his Enam petition
in file No 1 he says
(Enam Amount of Enam
Jodi & Rentance)
Do mfr. Shanbogue
Afree also
his Jenmi Enam
the remain

Between the Survey
Settlement

Settlement was introduced into the above villages. The Inam authorities say that the Shanbogue can claim no cash payments since 1878/79, as he lost the Service Inams owing to his default to pay the arrears of Govt. demand. And that the Govt. is at liberty to restore the Service Inams, which were sold & alienated in satisfaction of the Govt. dues.

4 Patel Kammamtikal claims cash payments since the introduction of the Survey Settlement. The Inam authorities propose that waste lands may be assigned to them as Service Inams in lieu of Cash payments.

5 The Musicians Nooddaru claims the restoration of his Service Inam land.

Orders of Govt. in Land Inam re-enumeration Case returned by the Board of Rev.

Inam Commissioner's letter

because the kudiyan failed to pay the arrears of Kudayam the land was resumed and given out for cultivation to a ryot. Now it has to be taken back from the ryot and restored to the kudiyan.

6 Archik Subbaiya claims the restoration of his service inam, which was resumed and given away for cultivation to a ryot. The temple authorities recommend that the land may be restored as service inam.

7 Shanbogue Kalasiah of the temple states that he is doing Jangle Shanbogue's work in the temple, but no remuneration is paid to him. The Amildar reports that when lands used to be cultivated on Rayotwar tenure this office was needed and that as Rayotwar system has been abo-

allowances have
been disbursed
them since the
Survey &
the arrears of
which have not been
paid by them in
anticipation of
settlement of this
money
may be adjusted
from the cash
remunerations
due to them.

Secret Report
Jodi Jongsma
Heath Evidently does not
wish to pay in cash &
Lord will not visit
when this area of



prepared on this Chief Secretary's for the D approval

19. In the meantime the Deputy Commissioner again solicited sanction to the payment of the allowance of Rs 5/- to the Nijagal Sheikdar for looking after the Revenue collection of the Inam Villages attached to the temple.

Letter No 842 of the 31st January 1888.

20. In this office Pro: of the 11th February 1888 Camp No 2511, Major Macintire was requested to report from what date the allowance was proposed to be paid to the Sheikda & why the remuneration of the Village Servants of the Matt should not

be fixed and adjusted
under the Rules applicable
to Village Servants in
Government Villages. A
detailed list shewing
the remuneration due to or
claimed by each Village
Servant since 1878-79 when
the Survey Settlement took
place, was also called for.

21. The Deputy Commissioner
now × reports that the
Sivaganga Mutt & Temples
are quite distinct & Separate
from one another & all
the Inam Villages of the
Mutt have long been in
the Guru's possession &
enjoyment; that the question
of arrears of remuneration
claimed by the Temple
Village Servants will have
to be disposed of by Govt
before making over possession

× Letter No 178 of 12th June/88.

to the Mutt authorities & the Guru may pay to the Temple Village servants either Cash remuneration or Inam ~~to~~ after the Villages are made over to him. In submitting ~~the~~ a statement shewing the amount of arrears ~~due~~ allowance due to the Nyagal Shirkar the Deputy Commissioner reports that ~~the~~ no remuneration was paid to the Patels, but that "Batayi Mirasi" of the value of Rs 77-9-0 has been paid to the Shanbhog during the years the Batayi crops were reaped since Pramadi 1879-80. The Shanbhog on the other hand states that no mirasi was paid to him

& that the payment referred to
was an account of his
brother who was deputed
as "Jinsi" Shanbhog to look
after the cultivation &
distribution of grain &c
collected. The Deputy Commr
wishes to know whether
this amount could be
deducted from the remuneration
payable to the Shanbhog.
& for what years "Katcha"
& Pucka remunerations
should be paid to the
Village servants as in
Government Villages Katcha
remuneration was paid
from the year Bahudhanya
1878-79 to Dharana 1884-5
& Pucka remuneration
is being paid from the
Year Parthiva 1885-6.

22. The Vernacular statements
submitted by the Amildars

shew that Katcha remuneration payable to the Shanbhog and Patel upto 1887-8 amounts to Rs 307-8-0 & 202-8- respectively while Pucka remuneration payable to these Servants for the same period comes upto Rs 512-8-0 & 307-8- respectively. Orders are therefore solicited as to whether Katcha or Pucka remuneration is to be paid to them.

23. The arrears of allowance claimed by the Nijagal Sheikdar from February 1884 upto 31st March 1888 at Rs 5/- per mensem amounts to Rs 245/- for which the Deputy Commissioner

January 1885

has now submitted
a Bill for adjustment
out of Temple Funds.

As, in January 1885,
the Deputy Commissioner
for the first time, recom-
-mended the payment
of an allowance of Rs 5/-
per mensem to the Nijagal
Sheikdar, it is a question
for consideration as to
how the allowance to
could be paid to him
with retrospective effect
from February 1884.

Inserted for
Jan 1885

24 File No. III relates
to an Estimate for Rs 117/-
for making up new wheels
for the small Car of the
Annadéni temple and
for fixing planks to the
big Car of the Gangadhareswara

Temple. The Deputy Commissioner submits that this Estimate may be sanctioned from the Surplus Funds of the Institution.

Proceedings &c. 1860-71 - dy 10-3-87

179 — 16.4.87

723-5 — 6.6.87

1733-42 — 2-7-87
47

1888-9 — 7 7-87
41

4557-66 — 11-7-87
111

4567-76 — do —
112

4599-4610 — do —
113

2420-2 — 29-7-87
9

2475 — do —
91

6786-95 — 1-9-87
101

3367-3399 — 5-9-87
39

4962-70 — 1-12-87
124

1969-78 — 2-12-87

5702-20 — 5-12-87
127

5803-11 — 6-12-87
126

5238-46 — 6-12-87
133

4987 — 13-12-87
236

5046-52 — 12-12-87
128

5326-33 — 14 12/87
137

27

5374-81 / 189 — 14-12-87 ✓

5308-16 / 153 — 14-12-87 ✓

5317-24 / 136 — 16-12-87

5679-81 / 205 — 23/12/87

5714-23 / 148 — 28/12/87

5693-5702 / 143 — 26-12/87

1988

No. 2316 / 23 — 17-1-88

2843 — 12-3-88 ✓

118 / 127 — 9-4-88

921-28 / 24 — 3-5-88

323-32 — 4-5-88

984-93 / 27 — 8-5-88

The Proceedings were taken for the use of the Legislature Dept "B.O."

24/12/88

Mercata Chang
Clerk
Leg. Dept

of

222/709 dated 6th Decr/85 from the Agent of the Sivaganga Matt to the Dy Commr Tirunelveli Dist.

As per late Chief Commrs Pro No. 1176/207 dated 20th February 1877, the Inam villages attached to the Sivagang Matt were under the management of Govt on account of the liquidation of the debts due by the Matt and as all the debts have been duly cleared, the Inam villages have been restored to the Matt from the year Parthiva (1885-86).

2. In some of the settled villages belonging to the Matt, some lands are given to Ryots for cultivation on Kandayam tenure, a few are under the direct cultivation & management of the Matt authorities and the rest is held on Warm tenure. Prior to the Survey settlement, the Matt was not only able to

taining a large ps
lent also deriveing other benefits to a
great extent from the dry lands and
sugar-cane gardens remaining undis-
the direct cultivation of the Matt Agency
as also undir Varam tenure. The Matt
has been subjected to sustain a great
loss on account of these lands after
their settlement.

3. If the Survey settlement be introduced
into the Sarvamanyam Inam villages
of the Matt in the Tumkur Talk, the
rates of assessment are to be reduced on
the lands held by Ryots & they are to be
raised on waste & wet lands, and the
Matt will thereby sustain a great loss.
The trees & plants on the lands to be
settled by the Survey Dept will be
destroyed by Ryots. The Ryots shall
have the liberty of selling the houses &
lands held by them. The Matt authorities
will lose their power or right over
such Kandayam lands and houses
held by them on account of the dwelling of

on different places exercise of the Malt. The villages attached to the Malt are not subject to such changes or inconveniences. As the Malt authorities are ready to pay to Govt. such cess or Fund as may be fixed proportionately on the recognized beriz of each village the orders issued by the Amildar of Sunkere to the effect that the Survey Jamabandy of the villages of Hollihal Gangapura & Singanahalli attached to the Malt would be conducted on the 20th Decr. 85 by Mr. Thistrington, General Asst. Commr. of the Sunkere Dist. may be cancelled.

4. The Malt authorities alone will dispose or settle the lands fieldwise according to the numbers marked by the Survey Deptt. without any trouble to the Ryots and loss to the Malt.

5. Under the above circumstances the Malt authorities request that -

orders may be
of Trunkers. Survey Dept. Asst. General Asst
Commrs. of the Trunkers ist not to
introduce surveyors into the
Inam villages attached to the Mah.
in the Trunkers &c &c.

C.V.

Commissioners

3044 / dd 27th June/76
R. 53
to the Commissioners Court
drug &c.
do — 11232 — dd 3rd Feby/77.
31 — do — do — 627 — 11764
R. 207 dd
20th Feby/77 to do — &
others

The Dyson reports the transfer of the property movable & immovable of the temple of Sivaganga to the Zamin Guru at that place who will be the manager of the temple & trustee.

The only question for decision is the remuneration of the village servants of the villages belonging to the Zamin: they have been surveyed & settled & the servants in question are disclaiming their duties and claim remuneration from the year of Fasli 1 when the settlement was completed. On Consideration of the

of the remuneration, the
servants have withheld
their Conductors Due for
the Land in their occupation.
The Survey Dept has not
acted the remuneration
leaving it for the decision
of Govt.

The Dewan considers that
in Enam villages attached to
temples & Mutts the remuneration
need not be on Govt scale
the Duties of the servants not
being so heavy as in Govt villages,
nor need the remuneration be in
cash: the old Jodi Lands
attached to the offices may
where practicable be restored
or increased Land in the Enjoyment
of the Officers may be constituted
service Enam, the scale being
less than the Govt village scale
by a Third. For Govt years
the money value of each Enam
shd be calculated at the

against the arrangements
by the ~~Local~~ Village
Officers as Candyglen.

The Secretary will
put himself in communication
with the Ground Committee
and the above instructions
I/S. are carried out.

R.F.

23/2



Statement shewing the Peris of the Inam villages attached to Gangadharas Swami temple at Sivaganga in the Nelamangala Taluk.

No.	Names of villages	Former Peris			Survey valuation	Remarks.
1	Sivaganga	1009	5	10	716 1	The village
2	Koottagutta	155	5	7	483 8	rapura has been
3	Gangenapura	49	2	8	243	transferred from th
4	Gowrapura	55	13	8	—	Nagadi to the N
						-gala Taluk and the
	Total	1269	11	9	1443	ment thereof is not y
						complete

The remuneration payable to the Shanbogue under the Survey rules laid down in the Chief Commrs Pro: No. 5471/R.109 dated 27th Octr 74 on the Survey valuation of the afo said three villages (settled) amounts to Rs. 58/ as specified below: —

Salary at 4 per cent for the 1st thousand (viz, Rs 1000) —	Rs — 8 — 0
— Do — 3 — do — for the balance of Rs 400, (being a portion of the 2nd thousand)	40 — " — "
	12 — " — "
	Total 52 — " — "
Stationery allowance	6 — " — "

× Rs. 4 - 13 - 4 per measem.

Statement shewing the
Deriz, &c. of the Inam
villages attached to
Gangadhareswara
Swami temple at
Sivaganga in the
Nelamangala Taluk

Submitted
CR 4-2-85

neral Serial No. 29.)

18.84

From The Dy Commissioner
Bangalore district

To

Dewan
The Secretary to the ~~Chief Commissioner~~
of Mysore, Department.

Dated 29th July
Despatched
Received

Enclosures No. Nil

General No. 425 } 1884-85.
D No.

With reference to the accompanying file of correspondence, has the honor to state that from the papers it will be seen that Ranjavadi the Pariyapatigar of the Sivaganga temple, has, owing to his gross misconduct, been dismissed, and that the services of Pariyapatiga and Peon are not required since the temple affairs are

File of correspondence ending with Melamanjula Ambidars Circl Ref 21/107 -

File of Correspondence ending with the said Ambidars Circl Ref 24/166 -

Surveyor Main
25/7

being managed by a Dharmakarta.

Under such circumstances, Sanctions
— will may is solicited to do away
with the practice.

The offices of the Superintendent appears
to have been created in 1865 by desire of
Rajah the late Maharaja, vide
reference noted in the Margin.
Dharmakarta having been newly
appointed in 1833 upon the recommendation
of the Circular, the officer ought to
have to manage the temple affairs
efficiently by the help of the said
Martha &c. —

W. Thurnall
By Commissioners.

Lett. No. 157 dated
the 1865 from
the Commr.
to the Superintendent
of Tanjore Division.

File of correspondence enclosing extracts from Inam Registers in original

do	do	do	Doddaballapur	do	19	do
do	do	do	Nellamangala	do	51	do
do	do	do	Kolar Sub Coll^r Yadi	do	248	do
do	do	do	Malegal	do	495	do
do	do	do	Anegal	do	298	do
do	do	do	Lumpsum Sub Coll^r Yadi	do		

eral Serial No. 29.)

607

18 84

om The Deputy Commissioner
Bangalore District

he Secretary to the ~~Chief Commissioner~~ Dewan
of Mysore, ~~Department~~

ated 30th October 1884
espatched
eceived

Enclosures No. one file

eneral No. 798 } 1884-85.
No.

Secretary's No. 1608/124 dated 13th June last, regarding the Inam lands in the Sivaganga Mutt villages

With reference to the above, has the honor to forward herewith the statements together with Vernacular correspondence, as per margin, shewing the particulars of Inam lands in existence in several villages of the Mutt in question

I have the honor
Commissioner

(General Serial No. 23.)

5935

23

1884

From The Dy. Commissioner
Bangalore District

To The Secretary to the ~~Chief Commissioner~~
of Mysore. Department

Dated 10th
Despatched
Received

Enclosures No.

General No. 2 No. 948 } 1884 S 5.

This Office No. 798
dated 30th October
1884, proposing to
Continue one Jodi,
Tuccee, Caudy Attie
Village, Devanahalli Tq
Attie Sub Tq to North
and the Tahsildar
Village -

I Attached
Report only one
on the subject as
the remarks for

for the same

Commissioner
Dy. Commissioner

43.

5957.

(General Serial No 29.)

1884

From Hereby Commissioner
Bangalore District

To Secretary

The Secretary to the ~~Chief Commissioner~~

of Mysore, Department.

Dated 12th December

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No. 1

General No. 955 } 1884-85.
D No.

Forwarding for orders the Singrunja Mutt Gurus Memorial relative to taking charge of the Inam villages of the Temple

Answer 12/12

D. No. 955
Enc: 1

Bangalore District
Dy. Commissioner's Office
12th December 1884.

To

The Secretary to the
Dewan of Mysore

Sir,

In continuation of this Office No. 890 dated 21st Ultimo, regarding the Sivaganga Mutt, I have the honor to forward herewith for orders, Enmookha No. 62 of the 5th Instant from the Guru of the Mutt.

2. He states that he is prepared to take charge of the Inam villages, as the loan of 10,000/- Rupees originally advanced to the Mutt has been fully liquidated that his Agent Venkatanarana Shastry who is instructed to appear before the Deputy Commissioner, will repay with such interest as is the amount of Rupees 831-- adva-

4.

for the 2nd time and that the Mutt authorities will settle the payment of the money still due to the Ministry-

3. The said Agent who is now here, says that he will pay up the amount of Rs 831/- in the next month.

I have the honor to be

Sir

Your most Obdt. Servant

P. C. Thummappa

for Dy. Commissioner

MGS/

23/12/84

(General Serial No 29.)

6173
2
757

18.

From The Deputy Commissioner Bangalore District

To The Secretary to the ~~Chief Commissioner~~ Dewan of Mysore. Department

Dated 23d December/84

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No. Original letter

General No. 9911 } 1884-85.
No.

Sir

I have the honor to report for the information of the Dewan, that the total value of the work done to the Sivaganga Matt building by the Contractor is Rs 5602-8-2 as per final measurement lest received from the Executive Engineer, Bangalore Division

47

Division with his Docket No. 1136/4877
the 15th Instant.

2. A sum of Rs 4000/ has been paid to the Maistry in part payment, and the balance still due to him amounts to Rs 1602-8-2 which the Guru has stated will be settled by himself. I inspected the Mutt and the work appears to have been satisfactorily done.

3. I request early orders to this Office No 955 of 12th Instant, regarding the restoration of the Inam villages to the Mutt authorities.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your Most obedient Servt.

C Munuswamy

Deputy Commr.

Judy

+ herein
Enclosed

(General Serial No. 29.)

6488.

773

1885

From Head Commissioner
Bangalore District

To L Rice

The Secretary to the ~~Chief Commissioner~~
of Mysore, Department.

Dated 10th January
Despatched
Received

Enclosures No. one file 43

General No.
D No. 1028 } 1884-85.

Recommending the
pay of the Paraphagan
ga of the Sivaganga Temple
Nadamangal Salukai
requesting or deed.

Reply to send
16/1/85

Inam Register No 425 of 29
July 1884 entered by D.S.
Act in the Office if the temple
is in the management of
the hereditary or if not
enquiry per.
Ry.
8/2

Reply

As the management of the
Kasto villages will early be
transferred to the hereditary
trustees recommending the
Shebdar & Thandakaran
may be arranged by the
D Commissioner
with the farm.

No. 1028
Cs. one file.

Bangalore District
Dy. Commissioner's
10th January 1885.

To

The Secretary to the
Dewan of Mysore

Sir,

In continuation of this Office No. 425/276 dated 29th July 1884, regarding the Sarpatigar of the Sivaganga Mutt in the Nela-mangala Taluk, I have the honor to submit herewith, for orders, the Amildar's urzy No. 855 proposing to pay, out of the temple fund, Rs 5 to the Huzgul Shekdar for looking after the revenue collections and cultiva-tion, &c, of the Inam villages attached to the temple which are in his Hobly and to

Shanbogue Mylarah for doing the clerical duty connected with the temple.

2. The pay of the abolished Establishment amounted to Rs 156-.-., as per margin. The amount of allowance now proposed to be paid as above, comes to Rs 96-.-., per annum. Thus, Rs 60-.-. will be saved for the benefit of the temple. By this arrangement, the affairs of the Institution can be satisfactorily managed, and I would therefore recommend that sanction may be accorded to the above proposal. During my recent inspection, I satisfied myself that there was a good deal of work to be done by both these officials.

Monthly Rs 10 = 3 = 36-.
Annually Rs 120-.
Rs 13-.
Rs 156-.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most Obt. Servt
Asst Commr
Dy Commissioner

(General Serial No. 31.) 91

188 S

From

To Mr Bylvanus

Bangalore

Dated 16

Despatched — Jan

Received

Enclosures No.

General No. S-619

No. 436

Bylvanus No 1028 of 10 Jan 85, recommending the payt of certain allowances amg to Rs 87 for the conduct of the business connected with the Swasungra matt in the Nellamangala Taluk.

In reply has the honor to state that as the management of the Matt villages will early be transferred to the Matt, the matter of remunerating the Sheikdars & the Shanbogue may be arranged by the Bylvanus in consultn with the Govt

A.O

Ofg Resr.

Ulen 14/1/85

(General Serial No 29)

18.85

From The Deputy Commissioner
of Bangalore District

To

The Secretary to the ~~Chief Commissioner~~ Dewan
of Mysore. Department

Dated 19th January 1885
Despatched 20th
Received

Enclosures No. —

General No. 436 / R No 1089 / 1884-85

Sir

In reply to your
No. 5619 dated 16th Instt
I have the honor to
furnish an abstract
statement of Rs 8
recommended to
be paid out of the
Temple Funds
chiefly for the con-
duct of the business
connected with the
Sirsangi Temple
and not the Hill
which has no
relation to the Hill or
more and the
merit of which

Office

What is the Design of the
villages attached to the
temple?
What was the Sanctions
for an Remuneration
of this calculated
under the Survey Rules?

R 24/1

shortly be handed over to the authorities.

2. The Temple has also Inam Villages as well as the Mutt. The allowance is intended to be debited to the revenue of the Inam Villages attached to the Temples which are under Government management.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant

H R Mackenzie
Deputy Commissioner
Bangalore Dist.

1884.

From

To The Chairman of Bunyabad

Dated 26 January 85.

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No.

General No. 8-924

No. 263

Sir

With reference to your letter No 790 dated 30 October 1884 —

" —

Requesting to be furnished with Copies of Correspondence ending with this Office Nos No 1608/124 dated 13th June last regarding the Bhungany Lands of the Bhungany Mute.

I have the honor to be Sir Your most obedient humble Servant

K O

Draft

R K

Dec

Registered send
CR 16-3-85

Submd
CR

(General Serial No. 29.)

1884-85. 772

From The Dy Commissioner
Bangalore District

The Secretary to the Dewan
of Mysore.

Dated 13th March 1885.
Despatched
Received

Enclosures No. 4.

General No. 2 No. 1321 } 1884-85.

Secretary's No. 5-924/463
dated 26th January 1885

As requested in the above, has the honor to forward herewith copies, as per margin of correspondence ending with the Secretary's No. 1608/124 dated 13th June 1884, regarding the Inam lands in the Sivaganga Mutt villages.

Dy Commr

To Secretary No 5-80 dated 2nd November 1882.
From — do — No 5294/248 dated 27th November 1882.
To — do — No 218 dated 25th March 1884
From — do — No 1608/124 dated 13th June 1884.

D.No. 5-80

By commissioners
" Bangalore Dist.
1st November 188

Civil

To.
The Secretary to the
Dewan of Mysore —

Sir,

With reference to your Official Memorandum No. 8422/572 of the 27th January 1882 forwarding for report — petition from the Guru of the Sivaganga Mutt, I have the honor to report as follows: —

× herewith returned.

2. From the accompanying file of correspondence ending with the Nalamangala Amildar's purji No. 44 it would appear that several persons have been allowed lands free of rent in the Bargimhully Village attached to the Mutt. These lands were granted at different times about 100 or 170 years ago by the then presiding Gooroos the ancestors of the present holders who consider them as minor Inam

3. On the introduction of the Survey operations in the Villages attached to the Mutt, such lands are invariably brought under assessment

and the 5 grantees deprived of their free enjoyment - Some of the Inamdars viz Narayan Sastry and others complained to the late Chief Commissioner on the subject, and their petition was forwarded to this Office with the Chief Commissioners' Official memorandum No 1994/247 dated 13th August 1879, for disposal -

4- Enquiry was instituted into the matter and the Revenue authorities have consulted on the subject - The present Govt consented to the enjoyment of lands by only 2 individuals free of rent excluding the "Inabaloobas" Vide the English Memo ✕ to be obligingly returned can enclosed -

As regards the case of "Ayasa Venkatarayu" in question the Memo will show the reason which his lands has been ordered to be continued - On receipt of the Special Memo under reference local enquiry was made by the Amildar into the matter - In circumstances

came to possess this land as
may be summarized thus —

(1) Gungadhur Bhatt and Rama-
bhatt obtained a certain extent of
land worth 19 Pagodas on payment
of 190 Pagodas as Inam in the year
Swabhanoo about 179 years ago, from
the then Mutt authorities.

(2) One Thimmappiah a descend-
-ant of the above named person got
additional Inam lands of 18 Pago-
-das now in question from the Mutt
Guru about 142 years ago in con-
-sideration of his having constructed
the Mutt building, a wall &c.;

(3) Gunghadara Bhatt (a nata
-tive of the above mentioned original
grantees) who came to possess these
lands (worth Pagodas 37) transferred
them by gift to his only daughter
the year Vizinga, 109 years ago;

(4) The said daughter Timi
mmah had no male issue

daughter Savitrammah whom gave to R. Venkata Raya in marriage and transferred in favour her Inam lands by gift in the name of her only daughter in 1835-36, from which time Rayasa Venkata Raya and his wife enjoyed the lands till 1853-54 when the said Rayasa Venkat Row retransferred the gift to his daughter Venkata Soobammah who has been enjoying the same. Thus the parties have long been in the enjoyment of the lands —

6. The Govt subsequent to the date of the original Menio. wishes to continue the lands which are said to have been in the enjoyment of Hosamani Soobroyasastry and Lakshamana Bhatta Soobaroyasastry, but no orders have been issued as yet on the subject. One Koodoor Annjundia has likewise complained to this Office of the levy of assessment on his Inam lands. The Amildar who made local enquiry in the matter reports that the enjoyment of

Vide the Amildars letter No 65 in R 412 in the file.

Vide the Amils letter No 52 in the R 515 file

the

long and recommends continuance of the Inam to him - The case is still pending disposal -

7. A statement, prepared by the Amildar, shewing the names of the present holders of the Inams and other particulars is also here with forwarded - On the whole it appears that the enjoyment of lands free of rent in the family of each of the Inamdars has been for a long time - The present Government taking advantage of the introduction of the Survey assessment and consequent levy of Cundayem on these free grants, tries to have them or in other words to resume the tenure of the lands of those who are not on good terms with him - whatever may be the relative position of the present Inam and his tenants or rather the enjoyers of the inclosing minor Inams

whose

whose undisputed and prescriptive enjoyment for a century and more cannot be disturbed under the plea that the Survey Department have assessed their Inams. The Survey Department assessed every bit of land in the Village, and the Taluk Authorities have enforced the recovery of the assessment from the Inamdars along with other ryots indiscriminately. It was however done, it is believed in the absence of proper references. But this cannot be held as arbitrary, the demand. To say now to continue certain such Inams and not to continue others on the part of the Govt savors of partiality, and tends to give room to numerous complaints. I think he is not justified in disturbing the possession and enjoyment of these Inams.

(Signed) E Ricketts.

Dy Commissioner
31 10 82.

Copy.

Dy Commissioner

Read Letter No 580 of the 2d November 1882 from the Deputy Commissioner of Bangalore reporting upon a Petition from the Guru of the Shivaganga Mutt regarding the Assessment of certain alienated lands in the Inam Villages of the Mutt.

Order thereon No 5294/248 Dated Bangalore 27th Novr. 1882

The only ground on which the Government can interfere to bring under full assessment lands enjoyed rent free in the Villages of the Mutt is the circumstance of the Villages having come to the hands of Government though temporarily, owing to the involved condition of the Mutt. On such occasions all alienations of lands improperly made divesting the charitable objects for which they were granted could be properly resumed, but if this step is resorted

to, it must be so equally in the case of all alienations made by the Mutt, and the Mutt authorities should not be allowed a voice as regards continuing some Inams and disallowing others. The Deputy Commissioner is requested to bring under full assessment all the — alienations improperly made in the Villages of the Mutt

(Sd) S. Ekambaranarayanaswamy
for Secretary.

(Copy)

S. Mitchell
Deputy Commissioner

No. 218.

Bangalore District
Dated 28th May 1884.

Copy

To

The Secretary to the Dewan
of Mysore

Sir,

Adverting to Dewan's Pro-
-ceedings No. 5294/248 dated 27th
November 1882, regarding the re-
-Simpstow and full assessment
of the improperly alienated Inam
lands of the Bargainbully village
of the Sivaganga Mutt in the
Nelamangala Talk, I have the
honor to submit the Amildar's re-
-port with enclosures with my re-
-marks thereon.

2. In carrying out the Dewan
orders referred to above, Service Inam
lands were excluded from full
assessment enjoined on the ground
of their being the remuneration

for the Services performed by the village and Temple Servants. The Amildar now recommends the concession in case of the Service Inams in the village of Bharthpur connected with the same Mutt. I beg to request orders whether the Concession made to the Servants of Barjahully may be extended to those of all the other villages attached to the Mutt.

I have &c

/Signed/ A & Macintire
Dy Commissioner.

"Copy"

Mr Ibbetts.
Dy Commissioner

From

The Secretary to the Dewan
of Mysore

To

The Deputy Commissioner
Bangalore District

Dated 13th June 1884

No: 1608/124

I have No 218 dated 28th Ultimo requesting orders to exclude Service Inams in Shimoga Matt Villages from full assessment.

In reply has the honor to observe that it is not clear whether the Inams which were excluded from full assessment were those that existed prior to the grant of the Villages to the Mutt on Inam tenure, or those subsequently granted to the Village Servants and deities by the Gurus of the Mutt. In the former case, the Inams are to be considered valid, the Mutt being entitled to the fixed Jodi alone, while in

in the other Case, the Confirmation of the Inams must depend entirely on the necessity there is for the Continuance of the Services for which they were granted. The Deputy Commissioner is requested to submit a statement shewing the Inams in existence in the several Villages of the Mutt, the probable date of grant, whether prior or subsequent to the grant of the Village to the Mutt and the necessity which exists for their continuance and the nature of the Services rendered by the Inamdars. Upon this, final orders will be passed in the Case of each Inam.

By Order
(Sd) T Sreenevasengar
Under Secretary

"Copy"

L Michette
Deputy Commissioner

Rd
2-12-85

From The Dy Commissioner
Bangalore District

To

The Secretary to the Dewan
of Mysore.

Dated 21st December 1885.

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No. 1 to C.

General No. X
D No. 731. } 1885–86.

Sir,

In forwarding herewith Vernacular Correspondence regarding remuneration to Potels and Shanbhogues for the Swamy and Mutt Inam Villages, I have the honour to state that the Servants ask for cash payment for their services, whereas the Guru of the Mutt is for continuing the Service Inams in land. As the

2/2 remuneration

in consonance with
Government (vide ceedings No. 9/60
16th September last), I am of opinion that
remuneration should be fixed in land
accordance with the wishes of the Gwins

2. The same procedure should be adopte
as regards the Inam villages attached to the
Sivagunga Temple which is under Government
management.

3. The Survey Superintendents Gadi on
the subject is also submitted, herewith for
rders and return along with the file of corres-
pondence accompanying. I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your Most Obedient Servant

A Cumming
Dy Commissioner

Refer Mr
5/2/86

From The Bangalore District

To The Secretary to the Dewan of Mysore.

Dated 24th February 1886.

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No. Ver: Paper

General No.
D No. 1111 } 1885—86.

a draft with memo
put up for approval
4-9-1886 RS

This Office No. 176 dated 19th December 86 regarding the Remuneration payable to the Servants of the Sivaganga Inam and Temple villages

Has the honor enclose the Nelamangala Amildar's report No. 18 and to state that the Shanbogue of the said villages is pressing for payment of arrears of remuneration &c.

72

east years The Survey
not as yet fixed the remuneration
for the Mutt or the Temple villages. The village
servants have not been paid any remuneration
for their services ever since the introduction
of the Survey operations into these Inam
villages. Orders are solicited as to the payment
these arrears, which now amount to a large
sum

C. R. Mauritius
Dy Commissioner.

1885-

795

From The Dy Commissioner
Bangalore District

To

The Secretary to the Dewan
of Mysore.

Dated 28th January 1886.

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No. Nil

General No. } 1885-86.
No. 1821.

Sir,

I have the honor to state that the Agent of the Bevagunga Mutt has brought to notice that in the 16 Pt villages were formerly under their own management & most of the lands were leased in "Pumgem" and "Warum" tenures and that since the introduction of the new pe-

The Mutt is entitled to introduce any change in the tenure provided the ryots are willing & the change in the tenure is approved by Govt. Government have been pleased to grant to the Mutt the land on mokhasi management.

Sd/-

29/1

for Sutta and 74 ing the Survey
ment, the Tenures have been changed the
lands being given out on Kundayem. He
urges that this has caused loss to the
Mutt and solicits permission to revert
to the old Tenures. As this will probably
involve change of Tenants and their rights,
I submit the Doddaballapur Amildar's
Urzee with a file of Canarese correspondence
relating to it, for orders of the Dewan
and obliging return.

2. I do not recommend the proposed
change, as it will be contrary to the system
in force throughout the Province.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most Obedient Servant

A Munro
Dy Commissioner

Recd.

From

The Secretary to the Dewan
of Mysore.

To The Deputy Comr
Bangalore

Dated 2/2/86

Despatched

Received 4/2

Enclosures No. Ver Reed

General No 616

No. 367

His letter No 102, 9
28th ultimo, reporting
that the Agent of the
Sivaganga Mutt
requests permission
to convert the
old towers into
"Gumpus" & "Warum"
in regard to the matter
as the system of giving
them out on Kowlagee
introduced
when they were under
Govt. management, has
caused loss to the Mutt.

In returning the Vernacular record received
with the above, I am
the honor to state

the Inutt is at liberty to
any change in the tenure
provided the Ryots are willing
and the change in the tenure
is not opposed to any guarantee
that might have been granted
to the Ryots when the lands
were under Govt: Management

Yrs
In Secretary

Registered and with Papers
10/4/86

a Memorandum submitted for orders in the papers
31-8 RR

To Bangalore District.

The Secretary to the Dewan of Mysore

Dated 19th April 1886.

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No. 2 and a file

General No. } 1886-87.
No. 28.

This office No. 1085 dated 5th January 1885, Soliciting Sanction to payment of an allowance of Rs: 8/- to the Nazagir Shirkedar &c. for looking after the Swagrupa Temple affairs in the Nelamangala Talook

Referring to correspondence ending with the Dewan's letter No. 5 dated 1st Instant regarding the Grant of Nelamangala

Swaminatha Mudali [redacted] of Service
for the management of the Temple
at [redacted] Varam villages, the local enquiry was instituted in the matter by Mr. Assistant Commissioner Shanmugam Pillay, who suggests the transfer as an experiment for one or two years. His Memo together with the file of vernacular correspondence bearing on the subject are herewith submitted for the orders of the Board. The former reference, Tinnevelly Superintendent's No. 3384/5-87 dated 6th February 1865, quoted in the Memo, is also enclosed in original, for ready reference and obliging return.

2. Although the majority of the people seem to be against the proposed transfer, still if the suggestions of the Assistant Commissioner are enforced, probably they would not object to it.

A. Mahadeva
Dy Commissioner.

Inst.

inhabitants of Sevagangah and those of the other Inam villages attached to the Temple on the subject of handing over the management of the Temple and its Inam villages to the Priest of Sevaganga Mutt. Among the 20 Brahamins who appeared before me 8 were for and 12 against the measure. The rest of the people 36 in number were mostly Hindu and Mussalman Ryots, a few of them being merchants residing at Sevaganga. One half of these were indifferent and did not care to whom the management would be made over. Of the other half viz 18, 6 were in favor and 12 against the Mutt. The latter too had no special grounds for their objection. They simply said that as they were happy under the direct control of the Government they did not wish to have a change. The objecting Brahmans had nothing to say against the Mutt, except that

Relevant

Priests &c

Temple that at the instance of His
Highness the late Maha
Raja, the Government assumed the
management. On a reference to the
marginally noted correspondence, it
would appear that in the year 1865
there were two rival Priests whose
constant disputes resulted in the
mismanagement of the Temple and
in their being deprived of it by
Government at the desire of the late
Maha Raja. This, in my opinion,
cannot be a good ground to urge
that the present Priest who appears
to be good and pretty well educated,
and who has no rivals to disturb
his arrangements would also
misbehave like his predecessors.
This is however a question to be decided
by His Highness' Government at
present. Should it be pleased to
make over the management in
question to the Mutt, I think it
would be well to do so, as an
experimental measure, for a period
of one or two years, during which
the

Late Mundy Division Left
No. 3384/587 dated
6th February 186

Should on the expiration of the trial period, the Mutt be found equal to the responsible charge, the entire management may then be made over to it. It should be binding on the Mutt to furnish accounts monthly for the sums that may be paid to them by Government from the collections of the Inam villages for the expenses of the Temple.

As for the question of taking security from the Archaks and Pujaries in charge of the ornaments in the daily use of the Temple, I think the orders given already by the Deputy Commissioner should be enforced. These officials are residents of Sivaganga and their landed estates may be accepted as security, as suggested by the Amildar.

Religious observations and daily Pujas in the Temple will, it is hoped be more satisfactorily supervised by the Mutt than at present.

Bangalore dated 29th March 1866 } L. C. Thurnouyard

Assistant Commissioner
Board for the
Devadayam

Mayday dear
The majority seem
against the changes
but if the suggestions
of the Asst Com^rs
are carried out
then possibly those people
will not object
any more - London
bobbies

Alan
2/4

Sir Alan Hill

From

To The Deputy Commissioner
Bangalore District

Dated 7th September 1886

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No.

General No.

Ser. No. 484

1 — With reference to the marginally noted Correspondence ending with your docket no 1111 of the 24th February last relative to the continuance or otherwise of the minor service Inams held in the Inam villages of the Sivaganga Matt, I am directed to observe that the 4 villages belonging to the Sivaganga Ganga Dhareera temple have been left out in the statement made out by the Amildar of the Melavangal

1 — From Dy Comr no 5800 of 1-11-1882
2 — To do — no 5294 of 27-11-1882
3 — From dy — no 248 218 of 28-5-1884
4 — To do — no 1608 of 13-6-1884
5 — From do — no 124 798 of 30-10-1884
6 — From do — no 948 of 12-12-1884
7 — From do — no 931 of 31-12-1885

1 Sivaganga
2 Kudagatta
3 Ganeshapura.
4 Gowrapura —

Value of	Name of Inam village	Date of the grant to the State or Simple —	Name of minor Inamdar	Survey no /	Extent of the Inam in acres	Jodi or assessment			Jodi payable to the State or Simple —			Balance enjoyed by the minor Inamdar			By whom the Inam was granted ? By State or Govt	Probable date of the assignment of the Inam	Particulars of Service —	Dy Sowers opinion as to the necessity or otherwise of the Service at present	What settlement of the minor Inam was made by the Inam Deptt —
						R	A	P	R	A	P	R	A	P					

Four forms Songs of a in c As the 3

By

Encl. 1. Dated 8th September 1887.

To

The Chief Secretary to the Dewan
of Mysore.

Sir,

As requested in your letter No. 484 of the 7th September last I have the honor to forward herewith a statement shewing the Minor Inams held in the Inam villages of the Sivaganga Mutt and Temple, and to inform you that I have in each case recorded my opinion as to its confiscation, or otherwise, and a column has been provided for the orders of the Dewan.

2. The statement includes some personal inams, situated in the Inam villages of the Mutt and the Agent is of opinion that they

should no longer be continued where the Inamdars do not render any service in the Mutt. These appear from the Accounts to be personal Inams granted years back for subsistence only, and requiring no Service to be rendered. I therefore consider that in these cases the Inamdars should not be deprived of the Inams.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your Most Obedient Servant.

Inamdar
Dy Commissioner.

Read

Submitted
8/7
1-9-87

S. V. for
perusal
16/9/87
4.50 P.M.

From — Commissioner
Bangalore District.

To The Chief Secretary to the Dewan of Mysore

Dated 5th September 1887

Despatched

Received 12/9/87

Enclosures No.

General No. _____ } 1887
No. 645

Soliciting sanction to the transfer of the management of the Swagrama villages to the Inamdars of Doddaballapur and to the payment of the remuneration due to the village servants.

D. No. 410.
Encln 1 in a file

Dated 14th September/87.

To

The Chief Secretary to the Dewan of Mysore.

Sir,

With reference to Proceedings No. 6258/343 of the 17th December last, I have the honor to inform you that the necessary instructions have been issued to the Amildar of Melamangala to transfer the management of Sivaganga temple to the Mutt authorities to whom the orders of the Dewan regarding the management of this important temple have been duly communicated.

2. The settlement of all villages attached to this temple was completed in 1878-79 and the Survey forms of accounts are in force since the year

"Balundanya.

3. It would appear from the enclosed Vernacular correspondence that there exists apparently no disputes as will operate as a bar to the transfer of management of the villages but on the other hand, the village Servants have been repeatedly complaining of non-payment of remuneration for the duties rendered by them since the Survey Settlement. No remuneration has, as yet been fixed for them. A reference on the subject was made to the Superintendent of the Revenue Survey and that officer stated that the question of fixing remuneration had to be decided by Government.

4. The points for Settlement are (1) whether village Servants are to be paid cash remunerations as is done in Government villages, (2) if so, whether the payment is to have retrospective effect viz: from Balundanya, when the Settlement was completed.

5.

the villages do not seem to be disposed to pay cash Remuneration to the village Servants; but propose to assign Jodi lands for performing the duties. As the Inam lands formerly enjoyed by them have since been otherwise disposed of, in consequence of non-payment of arrears of Kandayem due by them to Government it would be difficult to restore now to them, these same lands.

6:. I would, therefore suggest that the Kandayem lands held by village Servants may be converted into Jodi Inam for their Services, and where they have no such Kandayem lands Batayee lands be given them as Jodi Inam. The arrears of Remuneration claimed by the Shanbogue and Potails for the past years has not been paid to them since the Survey settlement The matter was submitted for the orders of Government (vide this office docket No. 1111 dated 23rd February 1886) but no final instructions have yet

been received on this point.

7. A large Sum is now due by these Village Servants on account of Reveune arrears, which remain unpaid in anticipation of Settlement of their money remuneration. I would therefore Suggest that the arrears of Kandayam may be adjusted from their cash remuneration and the balance, if any, be paid to them.

8. I would request the favor of instructions on the Subject.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your Most Obedient Servant

Dy Commissioner

M.W.

From

To

The Chief Secretary to the Dewan of Mysore.

Dated 31st
Despatched } January 188
Received

Enclosures No 2

General No
L. No. 842 | 188

Request sanction to the payment of an allowance of Rs 5/- to Rungachar Shekdar of Nyagut Hobli for looking after the revenue collections of Lingayya Temple Inam villages.

Read this letter & draft in reply -

The Dy Comr is requested to report from what date the allowance recommended is proposed to be paid to the Shekdar.

The Dy Comr will in connection with the Inam report by Mr Cameron of the Village Watchmen attached to the Inam Valuation

Put up papers
if in Court Mis
AM
2/2/88

The papers are incomplete - I do not find Rs No 57 of 26. 1. 88. 467
Now put up the papers complete - Sanction of Govt.

Put up.
Encl 2 } Records with the Valn papers. No reference was in the file
9/2

Shd not be fixed and adjusted under the Rules which an application to Village Servants in Govt Villages - Q a details last showing the Remn due to claimed by each Village Servant since 1878/79 when from Settlement books should be maintained Vide Rules 11/2

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

No 842.
2

Dy Commissioners Office
Bangalore District
Camp Hanabe 31st Jany/88

To

The Chief Secretary to the
Dewan of Mysore -

Sir,

I have the honor to forward herewith the Nalamangala Taluk Amildars report No 1164 dated 13th Ultimo soliciting sanction to the payment of an allowance of Rs. 57- to Ranga Charr Shikdar of Nyagal Hobly, for looking after the revenue collections &c of the Inam Villages attached to the Sivaganga temple, a reference to which has been made in this Office No 1028 (6456) of 10th January 1888-

2 The question of the payment 94
of Cash remuneration to the Village
servants attached to these Inam
Villages has been reserved for orders
in this Office Letter No 4 1430 dated
14th September 1887. I would
submit that the case of the
Nyapal Shikdar above referred to
may also be considered during
the final settlement of the question
of remuneration and the allowance
of Rs 5 may be kindly sanctioned
in consideration of the additional
heavy work entailed on him as re
ported by the Amildar.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obedient servant

[signature]
Asst Commissioner

BR

ಪ್ರಮಾಣಪತ್ರ

ಈ ಪ್ರಮಾಣಪತ್ರವನ್ನು ಕೊಡಗು ಜಿಲ್ಲೆಯ ಮಡಿಕೇರಿ ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕಿನ ಕಾಲೂರು ಗ್ರಾಮದ ಕಾಲೂರು ಮಲೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಜನಿಸಿದ ಕಾಳಿಮಾಡ ಬೋಪಣ್ಣ ಮತ್ತು ಕಾಳಿಮಾಡ ಪೂವಮ್ಮ ಇವರ ಮಗಳಾದ ಕಾಳಿಮಾಡ ಸೀತಮ್ಮ ಇವರಿಗೆ ಕೊಡಲಾಗಿದೆ. ಇವರು ಕಾಲೂರು ಮಲೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಜನಿಸಿದ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಇವರ ತಂದೆ ಕಾಳಿಮಾಡ ಬೋಪಣ್ಣ ಇವರು ಕಾಲೂರು ಗ್ರಾಮದ ಗ್ರಾಮ ಲೆಕ್ಕಿಗರಿಗೆ ಮಾಹಿತಿ ನೀಡಿದ್ದು, ಗ್ರಾಮ ಲೆಕ್ಕಿಗರು ಈ ಮಾಹಿತಿಯನ್ನು ಗ್ರಾಮ ಪಂಚಾಯಿತಿಯ ಜನನ ಮತ್ತು ಮರಣ ನೋಂದಣಿ ರಿಜಿಸ್ಟರ್‌ನಲ್ಲಿ ನಮೂದಿಸಿರುತ್ತಾರೆ. ಈ ಮಾಹಿತಿಯ ಆಧಾರದ ಮೇಲೆ ಈ ಪ್ರಮಾಣಪತ್ರವನ್ನು ಕೊಡಲಾಗಿದೆ.

ಸಹಿ/-
ಗ್ರಾಮ ಲೆಕ್ಕಿಗರು
ಕಾಲೂರು ಗ್ರಾಮ

ಸಹಿ/-
ಗ್ರಾಮ ಪಂಚಾಯಿತಿ ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು
ಕಾಲೂರು ಗ್ರಾಮ ಪಂಚಾಯಿತಿ

ದಿನಾಂಕ: 31-10-1891

ಸ್ಥಳ: ಕಾಲೂರು

ಸಹಿ/-
ಜಿಲ್ಲಾ ರಿಜಿಸ್ಟ್ರಾರ್
ಕೊಡಗು ಜಿಲ್ಲೆ

ದಿನಾಂಕ: 14-5-1892

ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ: ಕೊ/ಜನನ/262/1892

No. 642

Mysore Dewan's Office,

PETITION DEPARTMENT.

Official Memorandum.

The accompanying Petition No. 3328, dated _____ received at the Dewan's Office from Sri Soobraniamabhimara Sachida Anandaraj Sarajangam Setti.

is forwarded, together with its _____ enclosures, to the Deputy Commissioner Bangalore District for report.

BANGALORE, 9th May 1888.

By Order,

for Secretary.

Mysore Government Press, Bangalore—7-1-88.—1,000.

From

The Chief Secretary to the Dewan of Mysore.

To

The Commissioner Bangalore Dn

Dated 11th Feby/88

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No.

Camp ~~General~~ No. 2571

No.

From the Secretary No 1789 12-6-88

Calling for further report in regard to the payment of Compensation due to Medaal Sheikdar for looking after Collections of the Swazamy & and enquiring why Ummidy shd not be fixed to Village Servants

Read.-

Letter No 842 dated 31st ultimo from the Deputy Commissioner Bangalore District, soliciting sanction to the payment of an allowance of Rs 5/- to Ranga Chur, Sheikdar of Nidagal Hobli in the Nelamangala Taluk, for looking after the Revenue Collections &c of the Inam villages attached to the Sivaganga Mhatt,

---

Order - Camp No 2511 dt 11-2-88

Mysore, 11th February 1888

The Deputy Commissioner is requested to report from what date the allowance now recommended, is proposed to be paid to the Sheikdor

2 and request sanction as payment of remuneration from the village Servants recommended in his letter No 410 dt 14 Sept 87.

Adverting to para 2 of his letter read above the Deputy Commissioner is also requested to in communication with the Guru, ~~reports~~ why the remuneration of the village servants attached to the Inam villages of the Mhatt should not be fixed and

regulated

243

Forwarded
M. R
27/3
Bangalore.
25/3.

{ener ra o. .

1887.

OFFICE OF THE
9260
2 MAR
689
DEWAN OF MYSORE

From The Dy Commissioner
Bangalore District

To

The Chief Secretary to the Dewan
of Mysore.

Dated 23rd March 1888.

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No. 2 & a file

General No
No. 987. } 1887-88

Reports on petition
from the Guru of the
Sivaganga Mutt.

Forwarded Cancelled No 97 24-4-87
10 7 1887

D. No 987.

Bangalore District

Dated 23rd March/88

To,

The Chief Secretary
to the Dewan of Mysore

Sir,

With reference to your Official Memo No 842 dated 11th February/88, I have the honor to forward the report of the Amildar of Nelamangala Taluk, together with a Putty and Sreemukha, of the Guru Sree Subramanyaswamy Nana Sachchidananda Bharathi Swamy, in original with a translation of the same, together with other papers, as per statement

2 It is to be regretted that the Guru, so soon after his confirmation, should have found it necessary to dispense with the services of the present Agent, Venkat Narayanasastry, owing to alleged mismanagement of the income and expenditure of the Mutt and its Inam villages

3 Further details will be found in the Sri Guru's Sreemukha, and orders are solicited

as to whether his requests may be granted
A Sheikdar who is well acquainted with
the work of this Inam might be sent the
Sri Guru for the year, provided he finds.
it actually necessary to dismiss his pre-
sent Agent, a matter which, in my
opinion, does not call for the sanction
or interference of Government. There
also seems to be no objection to the
Amildar managing the affairs of the
Matt for a year again, although it
has only been so recently transferred to
them.

4 With reference to the loan asked for,
I would recommend it being granted at
the rate of 6 per cent. per annum.

5. As regards "Batage" Wet lands,
the question had already been mooted by
the Agent of the Matt in 1886, and on a
reference made to Government, orders were
received in Proceedings No 6162/367 dated
28th February 86, to the effect that the
Guru was at liberty to make any changes,
provided he did not infringe the guarantees
granted to the ryots by Government while
the said villages were under Government
management. On receipt of this order the

ryots

proposals were

1886

to whether they assented to the proposals of the Gumu to convert the Kandayem to the Batayé system of Renvoi, and it was ascertained that no/15 with the exception of one Ramachendriya consented to any change whatsoever. An order was issued to the Agent of the Matt in this office No 241 dated 21st June 186 declining to sanction the Gumu's proposals Under these circumstances, I do not deem it necessary to reopen the question, as it has already been finally settled.

6. I would request that the Rakadani may be granted for the tour of the Guru, as is said to have once been done in 1876. but the copy of the former order has not been received. It has been written for.

Rakadanis will be granted if accounts for the Guru are received from Jaffna

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient Servant

A Mendis
By Commissioner

Serial No. 31.)

796

188

From The Dep

Bangalore District

To

The Chief Secretary to the Dewan
of Mysore.

Dated 4th
Despatched
Received } April

Enclosures No. 1

General No
Office No. 97. 1888.

In continuation of this Office Letter No. 9260 dated March 1888, has the honor to forward herewith a Seemthi No 14 dated 30th Ultimo received from the Gumpa of the Sevagunga Mutt, and to request the favor of early orders regarding the disposal of the Cheque

Dy Commr

Bangalore

108

Sealed Instructions.
24/6

DEWAN OF MYSORE

From The Dy Commissioner
Bangalore District.

To The Chief Secretary to the Dewan of Mysore.

Dated 18th April 1888.

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No.

General No. — } 1888-89.
No. 51.

Requesting orders as to handing over of the Keys of the Somaambaamma's Temple to the Swaguna Mutt authorities.

Publishing Instructions will be issued 5.5.88

D No 51.

Bangalore District
Dated 18th April 1888.

To

The Chief Secretary to the Dewan
of Mysore.

Sir,

I have the honor to state that in accordance with the orders conveyed in the Dewan's Proceedings No 6258/343 dated 17th December 1886, most of the moveable properties, &c. belonging to the Swagung a Temple have been given over possession to the Mutt authorities. Orders were however solicited in this office letter No 4308/410 dated 14th September 1887 for handing over possession to the Mutt authorities also of the Inam villages, and for settlement of the question of arrears of remuneration, but no final orders have been received. But further information has been asked for in Proceedings No 2511 dated 11th February 1888, which will be replied in due course.

2. Apart from the Gangadhareswara

Temple, there is another called Suru-
ambamma's or (Honnadevamma) temple
The duties &c. of this temple are carried
on by the Archiks of the Gangadhare
swara Temple. One Chennavaraswamy
an ascetic and priest has from time
immemorial the right of retaining
the temple Keys, which is guarded by
certain Lingayets employed by him.
It would appear from the Sivaganga
Mutt Agent's Yadi to the Amildar
of the Melamangala Taluk, No. 5-28/88
dated 16th January 1888, that during
the Guru's visit to the Sornambaamma's
temple to offer prayers to the Goddess on
the occasion of the Sankramana
festival, the 15th and 16th January 1888,
the doors were kept closed by the said
Lingayets and thus the Guru was
prevented from worshipping. He
therefore prays that the Keys also of
this Temple may be ordered to be made
over to the Mutt authorities, together
with the Jewellery &c. of the Goddess.
3. The Amildar who was directed
to enquire into the matter states that
Ruddreiah (Upjuan) on behalf of the
said Chennaveraswamy deposed that

mated
his intention of visiting the Temple
the doors would have been kept open
and that as regards making over the
Jewellery &co of this Temple, Government
has full authority to pass any orders
and that he would abide by it, and
that the Temple together with the
ornaments belong to Government.
4. The Amildar however states
that the Key of this Temple has been
from a long time in the possession
of the Lingayets. Orders are therefore
solicited in the matter. I see no Suff-
-icient Reason to alter the customs
but would insist on their treating
the Guru with due courtesy.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your Most Obedient Servant.

A Mauritius
Dy Commissioner

Mks

112

(General Serial No. 31)

1888.

From

To

The Deputy Commissioner
Bangalore District

Dated Cotamund 26th April 1888

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No.

~~General No.~~
Camp No. 252

His letter No 51 dated 15th April 1888 requesting orders as to handing over of the keys &c of Suvarnambamma's temple to the Sivaganga Matt Authorities.

The existing practice will be adhered to.

"By order"

Draft

Chief Secretary

(General Serial No. 31.)

1888-89.



From The Dy Commissioner Bangalore District

To The Chief Secretary to the Dewan of Mysore.

Dated 22nd June 1888.

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No. 1 file

General No. 3178 1888/89.

Soliciting orders in regard to remuneration payable to the Swaginga Temple Village Servants and also to the arrears due to the Shanbogue Renegade

D. No. 178

Enclos 1 file

Dy. Commissioner's Office
Bangalore District
Dated 12th June 1888.

To

The Chief Secretary
to the Dewan of Mysore

Sir

I have the honor to state that under order of the Dewan conveyed in Proceedings No 625-8/343 dated 17th December 1886, the management of the Sivagunga Temple was transferred to the Mutt authorities, but the villages, which are under Government management were not made over to them, owing to the non settlement of the question of remuneration, to the village servants, as submitted in this office No 410/1708 dated 14th September 1887.

2. But in Dewan's Proceedings Camp No 2511 Mysore dated 11th February 1888 received in reply, the Deputy Commissioner has been requested to report in communication with the Guru, why the remuneration

of the village servants attached to the Inam villages of Sivagunga Matt should not be fixed and made applicable as per Government rules &c. The Sivagunga Matt and Temple are quite distinct and separate from one another, and all the Inam villages of the Matt have long been in the Gurus possession, and enjoyment. The question of arrears of remuneration claimed by the Temple village servants will have to be disposed of by Government before making over possession of the Temple villages to the Matt authorities, and the Guru may pay to the Temple village servants either cash remuneration or Inamty after the Temple villages have been thus given over. As these Temple villages are still under Government management I do not think it necessary to communicate with the Guru, on the matter of the present settlement as Government will have to dispose of the question of arrears of remuneration, before passing them on to the Matt authorities for management.

In the present case the Amildar reports

reports that the Potels were paid no remuneration but that "Batayee" Increase of the value of Rs 77-9-" has been paid to the Shanbhogue during the years "Batayee" crops were reaped since "Pramadee" 1879-80. The Shanbhogue in his petition addressed to this office states that no Increase was paid to him and that the payment referred to was on account of his brother who was deputed as "Juisee" Shanbhogue to look after the cultivation and distribution of grain collected &c, and further that as he has enjoyed no Inamty and received no "Increase" cash remuneration as per rules should be ordered to be paid to him. The Amildar further states that in the Taluk generally the Shanbhogues have been paid "Kateha" remuneration from the year "Bahudanya" 1878-79 to "Shavana" 1884-85 and that "Pakka" remuneration is being paid since "Parthiva" 1885-86. A detailed list shewing remuneration claimed by the village servants from the year Bahudanya 1878-79 is submitted as called for, and orders are however solicited as to

what years "Katcha and "Pucka" remmeru
tions should be paid to the village
servants, also as to whether the sum of
Rs 77-9-11 the value of "Batayee" "Imaasee"
reported to have been paid to the Shambhogue
should be deducted.

A list shewing the particulars
of arrears due to the Sheikdar Rungachar
is also submitted.

The entire Vernacular correspon-
dence connected with the case, a Sketch
obtained from the Gurus, and the Shambhogues
petition to this office are herewith sub-
mitted for reference and obliging return.

I have the honor to be

Sir

Your most obedient servant

A Macintire

Dy Commissioner

Revd

(General Serial No. 30)

188 8.

~~From~~

To The Deputy Commissioner
Bangalore District

Dated 29 Sept 88

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No.

~~General~~ No

Camp No 1074.

శ్రీమత్పరమహంసపరివ్రాజకాచార్యవర్య పదవాక్యప్రమాణ పారావారపారీణ యమనియమాసన ప్రాణాయామప్రత్యాహారధ్యాన ధారణ సమాధ్యష్టాంగ యోగానుష్ఠాననిష్ఠాగరిష్ఠ తపశ్చక్రవర్త్తినాద్యవిచ్ఛిన్న గురుపరంపరాప్రాప్త షడ్దర్శనస్థాపనాచార్య వ్యాఖ్యాన సింహాసనాధీశ్వరసకల వేదార్థప్రకాశకసాంఖ్యత్రయీ ప్రతిపాలకసకలనిగమాగమసారహృదయ వైదికమార్గప్రవర్తక సర్వతంత్ర స్వతంత్రాది రాజధానీ శ్రీమద్విద్యానగర మహారాజధానీ కర్ణాటక సింహాసన ప్రతిష్ఠాపనాచార్య శ్రీమద్రాజాధిరాజగురు భూమండలాచార్య తుంగభద్రాతీర వాస ఋశ్యశృంగపుర వరాధీశ్వరశ్రీ శృంగేరీ శ్రీశివగంగా శ్రీస్వామ్యాం చాశ్రీవిద్యాశంకరస్వామి పాదపద్మారాధక శ్రీశివగంగా శ్రీమహాదేవస చ్చిదానందభారతీ స్వామినాం కరకమల సంజాత శ్రీశివగంగా శ్రీగంగా ధరభారతీస్వామినాంకరకమల సంజాతశ్రీశివగంగాశ్రీసుబ్రహ్మణ్యాభిన వ సచ్చిదానందభారతీస్వామిభిః-







12[2]

x Lucia about 20
Songo Pa 83 [illegible]


present Guru appears to be
a minor and the Govt. did
recognize the appointments
of a suitable individual as the
Guardian of his person and
property or it may be
desirable to recognize a
Guardian of his person only
to Govt. undertaking the manage-
ment of the village & introducing
therein a proper system of
Settlement & Management.
Ryder Capt. will be requested
to submit a further report upon
this question

Omit if a Rahadari
has already been issued
as I believe it has been

YG. 24

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] which no
rights of private
ownership exist
as aff. the matter

[illegible]

[illegible]

13/8/85

[illegible]
Lone District

GOVERNMENT

Temple a

which was in

true process to t

settlement ca

will be found: in

regard to work wet

not undertaken a

the Govt may make

of our arrangem

with Dr Kirkpatrick

R.K.

30/3 Chief Secretary

The Dy Commissioner Banga

returning the Vern. papers.

Read:

Petn dated - February 1888 from the Guru of the Devagenga matt in the Nilgambaglu Taluk

Letter No 987 dated 23rd March 1888 and docket No 97 dated 4th April 1888 from the Dy Commr Bangalore D[istrict] - submitting two more petns from the Guru and reporting on the subject of the appointment of an agent, the conversion of Nagodriyat into Batay tenure, and the grant of a loan

Mem. Camp No 1074 dated 13/15 - 9 - 88

The Guru in charge of the Devagenga Institution in the Nilgambaglu Taluk may be informed that the appointment & dismissal of his agents rest with him & that Govt will not interfere

The D[istrict] Supdt may be asked to submit a report regarding the working of the Institution & the manner in which the loan granted to it has been utilized

A Reminder will be issued to him from this office after necessary reference to past records in the District

(General Serial No. 29.)

1888. 89. 405

From The Dy Commissr
Bangalore Distt

To

The Chief Secretary to the Dewan
of Mysore.

Dated 25th October 1888

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No.

General No.
D No. 466.

This office letter No. 178 of 1888 of 12th June last solicits orders in the matter of the Remuneration payable to Swagunga village servants

I have the honor to request the favor of an early reply to the above as the Amildar reports that heavy arrears remain to be adjusted by the said Servants

I have the honor
Sir
Your most obedient
humble Servant
Dy Commissioner

Correspondence relating to the Inam villages belonging to the Sivaganga Mutt and Temple in the Malavangla Taluk:—

Devan's Pro No: 6094 of 479
30th January 1885.
The Bangalore Dy: Com's letters Nos: 1028 of 10th January 1885, & 931 of 21st Dec.r 1885, and Docket No: 1111 of 24th February 86.

Devan's Pro: No: 6258 of 343
17th Dec.r 1886
The Dy: Com's letters Nos: 410 & 427 of 14th & 19th Sept.r 1887, respectively.
The Dy: Com's letters Nos: 842 & 1787 of 31st January and 12th June 1888, respectively.

Order.

The Inam villages of the Sivaganga Mutt which were under Gov.t management as security for a loan ~~which had been~~ granted to it were restored to the Mutt by this Office order No: 6094 of 479 of the 30th January 1885, the loan having been paid up.

In

2 In this Office Ro
No: 6258 of the 17th Dec.r 1886,
343 127
it was ordered that the
management of the
Sivaganga temple as well
should be retransferred
to the above Instt.
but that the Dy: Coll.r before
actually placing the
Guru of the Instt in
possession of the Inam
villages belonging to the
temple, should report
whether a Survey
Settlement had been
introduced into them,
and whether any disputes
existed In Reply the
Coll.r in his letter
No: 410 of the 14th Sept.r 187
reports that the Settlement
of all these villages was
completed in the year
1878/79; and that there
are no disputes which
will operate as a bar
to the proposed transfer
Accordingly the
Guru will be put
in possession of all
the

instructions
have been issued
to the Collector to
proceed for the
management of
the Sivaganga Mutt
to be made over to
that

which will operate as
a bar to the transfer
of management of the
Villages &
under these
circumstance the Govt see no
objections to

ages of to gauge
temple from the 1st of April
next.

In the above letter
the Dy. Com. further
reports that the village
servants of the above
villages complain that
they had no cash re-
-muneration ever since
the Survey settlement.
but that as the Surve
proposes to assign
Jodi lands for their
services in lieu of
cash remuneration
the Kondayam lands
held by the village
servants may be
converted into service
Jodi Inam, and where
they have no such
lands, Batoyas lands
be granted to them
as such Inam. These
proposals are approved.
But the old service
Inam lands should be
restored as far as
practicable.

In regard to the
arrears of Kondayam
withheld by these village
servants in anticipation
of the settlement of their
remuneration

If there has
not been
already one.—

(2) Re memoranda
of village servants.

3— The only
points to be required
determination are—
(1) the settlement of Inams
in the Jagir— Some of
the Inams appear to have
been settled by the Inam
Commissioner & others left
out— & no remuneration
appears to have been paid
to the village servants —
subsequent to the survey &
settlement, most of the Jodi
Inams having been resumed
& otherwise disposed of
for non-payment of arrears
of Jodi due on them.—

(2) As regards Inams
held for Archakaram
or Charitable or
religious services, they
should be disposed of
by the Inam Com^n on
the same principles on
which similar Inams
have been dealt with
in other Inam Villages
without reference to the
action of Govt. down to
the Management.

4 With regard to the
remuneration to the
village servants of the
Villages belonging to
the mutt, they should
be settled on the
same principles

remuneration, as stated by the Dy: Cond the money value of the Lands to be restored or granted as above ordered should be calculated from the year of the introduction of the Survey Settlement, viz 1878/79, and set off against such arrears.

5 The Amildar proposed to dispense with the services of Chaukeyas Kalaskars on the ground that they are not required in consequence of the abolition of the Balotee System, since the introduction of the Survey Settlement. This proposal is approved.

6 The arrears of allowance to the Nijagal Shaikdars at Rs. 5 per mensem for Cobbling

it be paid according to the scale ~~as sanctioned in~~ in force in the Villages from the date of the introduction of the Survey Settlement up to date of the introduction of the Village Service Watan Act in the Taluka ~~The Cond of the Collector's~~ ~~Rs 12 instead of~~ ~~Pending the decision~~ namely the arrears of Kaudayem withheld by the Village servants in anticipation of a settlement of their remuneration, any balance due to them being paid in cash. For the future, it is not necessary to insist upon the Inamdars paying the remuneration in cash, as if the Inamdars is opposed to it, the Hereditary Inam lands may be restored wherever it may be possible to do so, or the Kaudayem lands held by the Village servants connected with service Inams in the same extent in which they were held ~~by~~ before the Villages came under Govt management.





132

8/4/89

Chas Leach

To

The Dy: Coast Bengal
Collecting over Sea Jaspe
Supt. of Quarr
Settlement

Copy to the Dy: Com
Tuticorin Dt: ~~with~~
a request that he
will report as
the minor Ins
in the villages
~~situated~~ situated in his Dist.
have been disposed
of

CHL

D.F. on
C. Soon
8/3/89

of
to
January 1885
Recommended
the Dy: Comr.ˢ letter
1028 of the 10th January 1885
and also of the 31st July 1885 No 8 Encl 65
7 — With letter No: 427
of the 8th Sept.ʳ 1887, the
Dy: Comr. has submitted
for orders a Statement,
showing the minor
Inams in the villages
attached both to
the Inatt and Temple,
Each of these Inams
have been individually
settled & confirmed
by the Inam Depart.ᵗ;
must be continued.
But in regard to those
which have not been
separately dealt with
by the Inam Department,
two Statements A & B,
comprising respectively
the minor Inams
belonging to the Temple
and Inatt, with the
orders of Govt. on
each case, — are
prepared & forwarded
to the Dy: Comr. for

Read. ——

Correspondence ~~ending~~ relating to the restoration of the Inam villages belonging to the Sivaganga Mutt and Temple in the Nelamangala Taluk, and the payment of the remuneration of the village servants ending with the Bangalore Deputy Commissioner's No 178 dated 12th June 1888.

No $\frac{6834-6}{336}$ &

$\frac{30 \cdot 11 \ 89}{16 \cdot 12}$ Enc. 1 VP

Order thereon.

The Inam villages of the Sivaganga Mutt which were under Govt management as security for a loan granted to it were ordered to be restored

to the Matt, in Dewan's Pro
No. 6094/479 of the 30th
January 1885, the loan
having been repaid.

2. In the Govt. Pro
No. 6258/343 of the 07th
December 1886, it was
ordered that the
management of the
Sivagunga Temple also
should be retransferred
to the above Matt; but
that the Deputy Comr.
before actually placing
the Guru of the Matt
in possession of the
Inam villages belonging
to the temple, should report
whether a Survey
Settlement had been
introduced into them
and whether any
disputes

-dars and the
existed for Settlement.
In reply, the Dy Comr,
in his letter No 410
of the 14th September 1887,
reports that instructions
have been issued to the
Amildar to transfer the
management of the Siva-
-ganga Matt to the Matt
authorities; that the
Settlement of all these
villages was completed
in the year 1878-79; and
that there are no disputes
which will operate as
a bar to the transfer of
management of the
villages.

Under these
circumstances, the
Govt see no objection

& the Swami being put in possession of all the villages of the Sivaganga Temple, from the 15th April next, if this has not been already done.

3. The only points that require determination are :—

(1) the settlement of Inams in the Jahagir and (2) the remuneration of the village servants.

4. Some of the Inams appear to have been settled by the Inam Commissioner and others left out and no remuneration appears to have been paid to the village servants, subsequent to the Survey and Settlement, most

by the village S having been resumed and otherwise disposed of for non-payment of arrears of jodi due on them.

5. As regards Inams held for sub-sistence or charitable or religious services they should be disposed of by the Inam Commissioner, on the same principles on which similar Inams have been dealt with in other ~~Inam~~ Inam villages without reference to the action of Government during its management. In any cases which in the opinion of the Inam Comm^r Comm^r may require special treatment.

treatment, should be reported for the orders of Govt.

138

6. With regard to remuneration to the servants of the villages belonging to the Matt, the Govt direct that it be paid ~~and~~ in the manner & according to the scale sanctioned for Govt villages from the date of the introduction of the survey Settlement up to the date of the restoration of the village to the Matt. As has been done generally in Govt villages, the Kaleha rates should be allowed up to 1884-85 and Pucka rates

from 1885-86, the Batayi
Miras already paid &
being deducted from the
sum due to the servants
The payments may be
made by means of
remitting the arrears of
Kandayem withheld
by the village servants
in anticipation of the
Settlement of their remu-
-neration, any balance
due to them being paid
in cash. For the future,
it is not necessary to
insist upon the Mutt
paying the remuneration
in cash according to the
Potige rates, if it (Mutt)
is opposed to it. In lieu
of such cash payment,
the old Jodi Inams held
for the service should

should be continued, or restored wherever it may be possible to do so, or the Kandayem lands held by the village servants converted into Service Inams to the same extent to which they were so held before the village came under Govt. management.

7. The Amildar proposes to dispense with the services of Jinsi Shanbogue Kalasiah on the ground that they are not required in Consequence of the abolition of the Bataji System, since the introduction of the Survey Settlement. This proposal is sanctioned.

8. The arrears

of allowance of D the
Nijagal Sheikdar at
Rs 5/- per mensem for
looking after the Revenu
ollections of the above
Inam villages is sanctioned
from January 1885 as
recommended in the
Dy Comr's letter
No 028 of the 10th Jany.
1885, and that dated
31st January 1888, No 842/2

9. With letter no
427 of the 8th September
1887, the Dy Comr has
submitted for orders
a Statement shewing
the minor Inams in
the villages attached
to the D the matt and
Temple. This Statement
will

will be forwarded
to the Inam Commissio
n for disposal.
(Initialled) P. C.
J. R. A. J.

To

The Dy Comr. Bangalore
Distt, returning V.P.

Supdt, Inam
Settlements

& (Copy D.D.C. Tumkur
Distt

Comp. Ck

143

To

D. C. Bangalore
Inam Supt.
D. C. Tumkur.

Dated 30 November 1882

No 68346/336

Passing orders regarding the restoration of the Inam villages belonging to the Sivagunga matt and Temple and the payment of remuneration to the village Servants.

144

Kanara Dy Comrs No 12 Dated 1888

Read the following correspondence relating to the Inam villages belongu to the Sivaganga Mutt and Temple in the Melamangala Taluk

Dewans Pro No 6094/479 dated 30th January 1885.

The Bangalore Dy Comrs Letters Nos 1028 dated 10th January 1885 and 931 dated 21st December 1885 and Docket No 1111 dated 24th February 1886

Dewans Pro No 625·8/343 dated 17th December 1886

The Dy Comrs Letters Nos 410 and 427 dated 14 and 19th September 1887 respectively

The Dy Comrs Letters Nos 842 and 178 dated 31st January and 12th June 1888, respectively.

Order thereon

Order thereon No 6834-6/336 of 30-11-89 & 6194/479 of 12-

The Inam villages of the Swaganga Matt, which were under Govt management as Security for a loan granted to it were restored to the Matt by ~~this office order~~ A ~~Govt~~) No 6194/479 of the 30th January 18 the loan having been paid ~~up~~

2. In ~~this office~~ Govt) Pro No 6258/343 of the 17th Decemr 1886, it was ordered that the management of the Swaganga Temple ~~was~~ also should be retransferred the above Matt; but that the DJ Coor, before actually placing the Guru of the Matt in possession of the Inam villages belonging to the Temple, should report whether a Survey Settlement had been introduce

held by the village servants

A in the manner of

^ Bells for the
service should
be continued

sheet dated 31st January

8 No 842/2.

8. With Letter
No 427 of the 8th September
'87, the Dy Comr has
submitted for orders a
Statement, shewing the
minor Imams in the
villages attached both
to the Ellatt and Temple.
This Statement will be
forwarded to the
Imam Commissioner for
disposal.

— BM

Chief Secretary.

The Dy Comr Bangalore
returning vernacular papers
& Superintendent Imam Settlement
Copy to the Dy Comr Tumkur Dist.

Note.

The Counsellors are not competent to dispose of this paper under the rules as there is no appeal in this case, but they keep one of the bundles sent to us.

I have received the draft submitted by the Chief Secy & this is the fair copy of it.

I do not think that there is any important paper & we need not trouble the Secretary or Lords unless if you think it ought to go to them for approval, you may so direct.

LL
30/ag/89

LL
30/ag/89

To

The Deputy Commissioner
Juan Dela
Deputy Commissioner

Deputy Commissioners

C/P 6534-6
336

90.

Passing orders regarding the restoration of the Inam villages belonging to the Sivaganga Matt and Temple and the payment of remuneration to the village servants.

For your information

non payment

arrears of Jodi due

~~them~~

4. As regards Inams held for Subsistence or charitable or religious Services, they should be disposed of by the Inam Commissioner, on the same principles on which similar Inams have been dealt with in other Inam villages without reference to the action of Government during its management.

5 With regard to the remuneration to the Servants of the villages belonging to the Matt the Govt direct that it be paid according to the Scale

Scale Sanctioned

Government villages

the date of the entry

of the Survey Settlement

up to the date of the

restoration of the village

to the Mutt. As has

been done generally in

Government villages, the

Kateha rates should be

allowed up to 1884-85

and Pucka rates from

1885-86, the Batayi

mirasi already paid

being deducted from

the Sum due to the

Servants. The payments

may be made by means

of remitting the arrears

of Cundayam withheld

by the village Servants

in anticipation of the

settlement

of

ation any

alance due to them

eing paid in cash.

For the future it is

ot necessary to insist

pon the Matt paying

the remuneration in cash

according to the Pagoda rates

f it (Matt) is opposed

o it. The old Godi

Inams ~~shall be~~ restored

estored wherever it may

e possible to do so,

r the Tundayam lands

eld by the village Servants

onverted into Service Inams

n the same extent to

hich they were so

eld before the village

ame under Government

management.

The Amildar proposes

introduced into them, and

whether any disputes

between the Inamdars and

Ryots existed for settlement.

In reply, the DJ Conr,

in his Letter No 410 of

the 14th September 1887

reports that instructions

have been issued to the

Amildar to transfer the

management of the

Swaganga Matt to the

Matt authorities; that the

settlement of all these

villages was completed

in the year 1878-79; and

that there are no disputes

which will operate as a

bar to the transfer of

management of the

villages.

Under these

circumstances the Govt

see no objection to the

Govt

Gwin being put in possession of all the villages of the Sivaganga Temple, from the 1st April next, if this has not been already done.

(3). The only points that requeire determination are :-

(1) the Settlement of Inams in the Jahgir & (2) the remuneration of village Servants.

Some of the Inams appear to have been settled by the Inam Commissioner and others left out and no remuneration appears to have been paid to the village Servants subsequent to the Survey and Settlement, most of the Jodi Inams having been proposed with the Services Jinsi Shanbogue &c on the ground that they are not requeired in consequence of the abolition of the Batayi System, since the introduction of the Survey Settlement. The proposal is Sanctioned.

6 & 7 The arrears allowance to the Vijaya Shekdar at Rs 5 per mensem for looking after the revenues collected of the above Inam villages is Sanctioned from January 1885, as recommended in the DJ Comr's Letter cl 102 of

(General Serial No. 31.)

OFFICE OF
9725
14 MAR. 90
733
DEWAN OF MYSORE

1889.

From The Deputy Commissioner
Bangalore District

To

The Chief Secretary to the ~~Dewan~~ Govt
of Mysore.

Dated 6th } March 1890
Despatched 10th }
Received

Enclosures No. 2 & 7 Pa. 1

General No. _____
D No. 850.

Requesting sanction to the payment of Rs 11 being remuneration to the village servants of certain villages of the Swag temple up to end of June & the arrears of allowance to the Nijagal Shanbhogs for 100 Kings after the collection work of the said villages

of the

Correspondence relating restoration of the Inam villages belonging to the Sivaganga Math & Temple in the Melamangala Taluk & the payment of the remuneration of the village servants ending with the Bangalore Deputy Commr's No 178 dated 12th June 1888.

Order thereon No 6834-6 dated Bang. 336 30th Nov/89

16-12-89

The Inam villages of the Sivaganga Matt which were under Govt management as security for a loan granted to it were ordered to be restored to the Matt in Dewan's Proceedings No 6094 of the 30th Jany/85 479 the loan having been repaid -

2. In the Govt Proceedings No 6258 of the 17th Decr/86 it was ordered 343 that the management of the Sivaganga Temple also should be retransferred to the above Matt; but that the Dy Commr before actually placing the Guru of the Matt in possession of the Inam villages belonging

to the Temple, should report whether a Survey settlement had been introduced into them, & whether any disputes between the Inamdars & ryots existed for settlement. In reply, the Dy Commr in his letter No. 410 of the 14th September/87, reports that instructions have been issued to the Amildar to transfer the management of the Sivaganga Matt to the Matt Temple authorities; that the settlement of all these villages was completed in the year 78-79, & that there are no disputes which will operate as a bar to the transfer of management of the villages.

Under these circumstances the Govt see no objection to the Guru being put in possession of all the [illegible] of the Sivaganga Temple, from the 1st April next, if this has not been already done.

3. The only points that require determination

1 the
the jahagir and
2 the remuneration of village servants.
4 Some of the Inams appear to have been settled by the Inam Commr. & others left out & no remuneration appears to have been paid to the village servants subsequent to the Survey and settlement, most of the godi Inams held by the village servants having been resumed & otherwise disposed of for non payment of arrears of godi due on them.
5. As regards Inams held for subsistence or charitable or religious services, they should be disposed of by the Inam Commr, on the same principles on which similar Inams have been dealt with in other Inam Villages without reference to the action of Govt during its management. In any cases which in the opinion of the Inam Commr. may require special treatement should be referred to the orders of Govt.

6. With 15 regard to the remuneration to the servants of the villages belonging to the x Matt the Govt direct that it x Temple be paid in the manner & according to the scale sanctioned for Govt villages from the date of the introduction of the Survey settlement up to the date of the restoration of the village to the Matt. As has been done generally in Govt Villages, the Katcha rates should be allowed up to 1884-85 and Pacca rates from 1885/86. the Battayi muras already paid being deducted from the sum due to the servants. The payments may be made by means of remitting the arrears of Candayam withheld by the village servants in anticipation of the settlement of their remuneration, any balance due to them being paid in cash. For the future, it is not necessary to insist upon the Matt paying the remuneration in cash according to the Potigé' rates if it (Matt) is opposed to it. In lieu of such cash payment the old godi

be continued or restored wherever it may be possible to do so, or the Cundayam lands held by the village servants converted into Service Inams to the same extent to which they were so held before the Village came under Govt management.

7. The Amildar proposes to dispense with the services of Jinsi Shanbog Kalasiah on the ground that they are not required in consequence of the abolition of the Battayi system, since the introduction of the Survey Settlement. This proposal is sanctioned.

8. The arrears of allowance to the Nijagal Sheikdar at Rs 5 per mensem (after the Revenue collections) for looking after the above Inam Villages is sanctioned from January 1885, as recommended in the Dy Comm's letter No 1028 of the 10th January 1885 & not dated 3rd No 842.

9. In the letter No 427 of the 8th September 1887, the Dy Comm has submitted for orders a

Talcumed

6. With regard to the remuneration to the servants of the villages belonging to the X Matt the Govt direct that it X Temple be paid in the manner & according to the scale sanctioned for Govt villages from the date of the introduction of the Survey settlement up to the date of the restoration of the village to the Matt. As has been done generally in Govt Villages, the Katcha rates should be allowed up to 1884-85 and Pucka rates from 1885/86. the Battayi miras already paid being deducted from the sum due to the servants. The payments may be made by means of remitting the arrears of Candayam withheld by the village servants in anticipation of the settlement of their remuneration, any balance due to them being paid in cash. For the future, it is not necessary to insist upon the matt paying the remuneration in cash according to the Potige' rates if it (Matt) is opposed to it. In lieu of such cash payment the old godi

since
be continued, or restored wherever it may be possible to do so, or the Cadayam lands held by the village servants converted into Service Inams to the same extent to which they were so held before the Village came under Govt management.

7. The Amildar proposes to dispense with the services of Guisi Shanbog Kalasiah on the ground that they are not required in consequence of the abolition of the Battayi system, since the introduction of the Survey Settlement. This proposal is sanctioned.

8. The arrears of allowance to the Nijagal Sheikdar at Rs 5 per mensem (after the Revenue collections) for looking after the above Inam Villages is sanctioned from January 1885, as recommended in the Dy Commr's letter No 1028 of the 10th Jany 1885 & dated 3, 1 No. 842.

9. With letter No 427 of the 8th September 1887, the Dy Com has submitted for orders a

Calendar

a Statement, shewing the names of Inams in the villages attached both to the Mutt & Temple. This Statement will be forwarded to the Inam Commr. for disposal.

Sd/- C. Madaiah
for Chief Secy.

545

121

Maybe Mohammad Ghouse
for Deputy Commissioner

ਸਾਰੇ ਸਾਰੇ ਸਾਰੇ

| | | |

Jeffrey Epstein, Manhattan Federal Detention Center, 150 Park Row, New York, NY 10007, Phone: 212-791-1400

Ghislaine Maxwell, Tutor Time Learning Centers, 1040 Avenue of the Americas, New York, NY 10018, Phone: 212-354-8900

Sarah Kellen, Assistant to Jeffrey Epstein, 9 East 71st Street, New York, NY 10021, Phone: 212-288-1400

Adriana Ross, Assistant to Jeffrey Epstein, 9 East 71st Street, New York, NY 10021, Phone: 212-288-1400

Lesley Groff, Assistant to Jeffrey Epstein, 9 East 71st Street, New York, NY 10021, Phone: 212-288-1400

Nadia Marcinkova, Assistant to Jeffrey Epstein, 9 East 71st Street, New York, NY 10021, Phone: 212-288-1400

Juan Alessi, Maintenance Supervisor, 358 El Brillo Way, Palm Beach, FL 33480, Phone: 561-655-6437

Frank Rosica, Security Director, 358 El Brillo Way, Palm Beach, FL 33480, Phone: 561-655-6437

Larry Visoski, Pilot, 358 El Brillo Way, Palm Beach, FL 33480, Phone: 561-655-6437

David Rodgers, Pilot, 358 El Brillo Way, Palm Beach, FL 33480, Phone: 561-655-6437

Lesley Groff, Assistant to Jeffrey Epstein, 9 East 71st Street, New York, NY 10021, Phone: 212-288-1400

Adriana Ross, Assistant to Jeffrey Epstein, 9 East 71st Street, New York, NY 10021, Phone: 212-288-1400

Nadia Marcinkova, Assistant to Jeffrey Epstein, 9 East 71st Street, New York, NY 10021, Phone: 212-288-1400

Juan Alessi, Maintenance Supervisor, 358 El Brillo Way, Palm Beach, FL 33480, Phone: 561-655-6437

Frank Rosica, Security Director, 358 El Brillo Way, Palm Beach, FL 33480, Phone: 561-655-6437

Larry Visoski, Pilot, 358 El Brillo Way, Palm Beach, FL 33480, Phone: 561-655-6437

David Rodgers, Pilot, 358 El Brillo Way, Palm Beach, FL 33480, Phone: 561-655-6437

[illegible]

1											

5	69-8	347-8	589	0	0	774	4	511	4	0	
3	26-0	120-0	217	0	0	0	0	217	4	0	
3	11-4	56-9	91	4	0	0	0	91	4	0	
3	6	30-0	58	4	0	0	0	58	4	0	
		206-4	366	4	0	0	0	366	4	0	
		553-12	955	4	0	774	0	877	11	0	

78	77
80	79
81	80
82	81
83	82
84	83
85	84
86	85
87	86
88	87
	77-9-0

Penang Secretariat
Dated 6th March 1890.

To

The Chief Secretary
to the Govt: of Ceylon

Sir,

Adverting to Government Proceedings No 6884 6 336 dated 30th November last, I have the honor to forward herewith two statements, shewing separately the remuneration due to the village servants of the Inam villages of the Sinagunga temple up to end of March 1890, and the arrears of allowances due to the Nyagal Sheikdar for looking after the collection work of the said villages

In request that sanction

(General Serial No. 31.)

1890

From

To The Dy Commissioner
Bangalore Dist.

Dated 5th April 1890

Despatched 8th April 1890

Received

Enclosures No.

General No. 33

No. 1

Ref. to the Govt. Lr. No. 45-89 14/4/90

Has No. 850 of the 6th Ultimo soliciting sanction to the payment of Rs. 1192-11-0, being the arrears of remuneration due to the village servants of the Inam villages of the Sivaganga temple upto the end of March 1890.

With reference to the above the Dy Commr. is requested to forward

forward to Office
a statement shewing
the particulars of the
actual amount of the
temple funds now
held in deposit.

By Order,

Ag. Under Secy.

C.V.

170

From The Deputy Commissioner Bangalore District

To The Chief Secretary to the ~~Govt~~ Govt of Mysore.

Dated 14th April

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No. 1

General No.

No. 45

To the Secretary Govt of Mysore

Ref. His docket No 33/1 dated 5th Instant

Has the honor to forward herewith, a statement showing the particulars of the amount of the Sivaganga Temple, held in deposit in the Nelamangala Taluk, as called for in the above, and to state that out of the sum of Rs 824 3 11 held in deposit, the sum to be actually distributed to the Village servants w

only Rs. 560 12 0 as
of Rs 1192 11. 0 is to be made up
Grand sum of Rs: 631. 15 0 due by
Plus Ala aforesaid amount Rs: 580

172

Statement shewing particulars of amount of the Sivaganga I funds in deposit at the Nelamangala Taluk Treasury

Upto what period	In Surplus funds	In deposit.	Total	Remarks.
	Rs as ps	Rs as ps	Rs as ps	
16th March 1890	1821-4-4	843-3-11	2664-8-3	Of the total amount of 2664-8-3, Rs 839—.—.— is for the repairs of the Gópuram Sivaganga temple, (Vide No dated 27th February 1890.

Deputy Commissioner's Office
Bangalore District
Dated 14th April 1890

Deputy Commissio

(General Seria o. 31.)

1890

From

To

The Deputy Comr,
Bangalore Dist

Dated 25 April -

Despatched 29 -

Received

Enclosures No.

General No. 643
No. 36

With reference to the statement of remuneration proposed to be paid to the Patels & Shanbogue of the Inam villages attached to the Sivaganga temple, received under No. 850 of 6th ultimo, observes that in the case of the patel of the Sivaganga & Gowrapura villages the Katcha potage has been calculated on the total jamabandi viz Rs. 694, while in the case of patels of the Kuthagatta & Gangeahnapura villages the potage has been fixed not on the actual totals (viz Rs 255 & 201) but on Rs. 250 & 200 respectively.

2 The pakka potage for the Shanbogue has been calculated

to the foregoing observations in communication with the Survey Superintendent. Also requests to be informed whether the remuneration of Rs 1192-11- commenced to be paid to the village officials & the Shekdar of the Nijagal Hobli, has to be met from Surplus Funds also, inasmuch as the amount held in deposit is only Rs. 843-3-11 only. The amount due by the Village Officials viz Rs 631-15- being the arrears of cowdaycum due to Government as stated in the letter referred to in para 1 above, the actual sum now payable out of the Temple funds (including the deposit) is Rs. 1192-11- & not Rs. 560-12- as stated in docket No 45 of 14th Instant.

By order

Under Secretary

Rs. 1390. As
the Patel of Shanbogue Revn.
rules, provides 4 per cent for the
thousand rupees & 3 per cent for
2d thousand, the amount to be paid
to the Shanbogue per annum is Rs. 5,
& not Rs. 69-8— as entered in the
statement. No allowance is made
for the contingent expenses of the
Shanbogue & Patels as per Rule 7 and
the fixed allowance of Rs 2 has not
been provided for against the —
Gangiahnapura village as per Rule
5. It ~~is also not clear whether~~ for the purpose of Calculating
for Village servants, ~~the amount of~~
clear Matter Jamabandi amount as entered
the statement ~~representative gross~~

~~Land Revenue~~, Inokatarfa, Local cess
&c as per existing ~~rates~~ with
the addition of 10 per cent of the —
assessment of the unoccupied assd
waste as per Chief Court's pro. No 6154-
R. 1081
721/1/81. If there are any existing
land emoluments, the remuneration
to be paid has to be fixed with —
reference to rules 10 & 11 and the
provisions of rule 12 have to be
applied where they may be
necessary. It is therefore

O.F. 113

From

The Deputy Commissioner
Bangalore District

To

The Chief Secretary to the Dewan
of Mysore.

Dated 30th March 1896 No. 1276

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No.

General No.

No. 933

Submitting for order (with detailed report) the question of remuneration due to the Village Servants attached to the Sivaganga Temple, Hebbur Amanya Talu

Rupees at the rates fixed by the Survey Supt in the years mentioned in Govt order no 6834-6/336

Reserving for enquiry whether any arrears of revenue may be due from the village servants & any payts which may have been made to them on acc't of remuneration in the aforesaid years - Mention amounts.

Early Attn 18 May 91

Reference
C1
1/4/91

Draft Subd
PR
15/5

Under Secy to Govt
C1
Order passed 10/4/91
The Kacha & Pakka

33
3-4-91

Dy Commissioners Office
Bangalore District
30th March 1891

No.

From.

The Deputy Commissioner
Bangalore District -

To.

The Chief Secretary to the
Dewan of Mysore -

Sir,

In reply to your docket No 643/36 dated 25th April last, I have the honor to state that the question of remuneration due to the Village servants attached to the Sivaganga Temple, in the Nelamangala Taluk, was referred to the Survey Department and statements received from that Department

-showing respectively the
rates of Kutcha and Pacca
remuneration to which the
Village servants are entitled,
together with copies of the
marginally noted correspondence
are enclosed

2. Kutch Potji statements.
2 Pacca Potji statements
Copy of Superintendt's No 669 of 9 January 18, 1 — do do — 73397 2

The Survey Superintendent is unable to lay down the year from which Kutcha Potji should be given, as the settlement of this point depends upon whether grain payments had or had not ceased during the years in question - The Amildar of Chowkey in my report on the matter states that no grain payments were made since the year 1878 79, when the settlement was introduced, except Katayi Mirasi of Rs 77 9 0 to the Village Shanbogue - Consequently, the Village servants

appear. As be fairly entitled
As Packa Potgi in the absence
of the payment of any "
Miras. But in Government
proceedings No 6834. 6 dated
336
30th November 1889, it has been
laid down that Katcha rates
should be allowed up As
1884 85 and Packa rates only
from 1885 86. I therefore "
submit herewith two state
ments showing respectively
(A) both the Katcha and
Packa remuneration due to
them, as per proceedings in
question and (B) the Packa
remuneration calculated, as
per rates furnished by the
Survey Department. It will
thus be seen therefrom that
according to statement A, a
sum of Rs 302. 11 5 is
payable As the several Patels,
while a sum of Rs 124 9. 11.
will have to be recovered from

the Shanbhogue in excess of what is actually due to him As per statement B, Rs 557 3. 5 will be due to the Patels, while the Shanbhogue will also be entitled to a small sum of Rs 0 2 2 1. I therefore solicit the settlement of this question by Government -

3 The amounts shewn in the statements above referred to will have to be disbursed out of the surplus and deposits of the temple. A sum of Rs 712 9 2 is reported to be at credit of the temple at the Taluk Treasury up to the end of March 1890 and a sum of Rs: 4, 64. 13 6, being the savings effected since the transfer of the temple management to the Trust authority, is at credit of the Trust up to the end of January 1891; there is thus a

(81)

a Total sum 177.
now available for the purpose
4. out of the arrears of
Cundagum formerly reported
to be due from the Village -
servants, a portion has sub.
sequently been recovered and
the actual amount now due
by them is reported to be
only Rs: 5 85 1. 6. This
will be deducted from their
remuneration -

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Dy. Commissioner

Vellt

No. 669

Survey Superintendent's
Office
Bangalore 9th January
1891

To

The Hy. Commissioner
Bangalore District

Sir,

In returning the papers
received with your Wocket No. 696
of the 15th August last, I have
the honor to forward herewith the

1. Postal' temporary
"enumeration"
2. Shimoga's — do —
3. Postal's final Re-
-numeration —
4. Shimoga's — do —

Statement B, as
per margin, prepared
in this Office on
the date received
apart from the
Amildar of Nelamangala which
should the correction
of the original Statement
sent to your Office —

I have &c.

(Sd) J. T. Gravatt
Supt. Mysore Ken. Survey

No 933

General Post Office
Bangalore 4th January
1871

To
The Superintendent
Bangalore District
—

Sir,

I have the honour to
state in reply to your letter
No 1474 of the 30th December
1870, that I am unable to
say what the year from which
Kattha Postage should be levied
The settlement of this point
should be submitted you should
apply to the Collector during
the year Commencing, grain
assessments to the village
concerned, or land-revenue
Peons)

2. The temporary Commissariat
Establishment should, in
accordance with the Postal rules, be

1864

where the immediate settlement of final remunerations is not feasible. In the present case, it is to be observed that the settlements of both the remunerations are simultaneous.

I have the honor to

(R.S.) J. P. Grant.

Superintendent &
Inspr. Gen. Rev. Service

"Copies"

Asst Commissioner

Offg Dy Commissioner

Asst

the Valla... of ... Temple (Government)

...servants of the Inam ... Kandayem &c. due ... together ... to ...

Total.	Kandayem due by the ryots. For what year.	Amount.					Net sum payable.			Balance to be collected.		
	1884-85	1	-	3								
	1886-87	49	11	6								
	1889-90	1	-	-								
		51	11	9								
	1884-85	5	8	3			73	1	-	191	15	-
	1889-90	15	13	-								
265 - -		21		3								
	1885-86	10	8	1								
98 1 -	1886-87	18	-	-								
	1889-90	46	3	6								
			11				74	1	7	24	-	5
86 2 -	-	-	-	-			-	-	-	86	12	-
450 8 -	-	147	12	7			147	1	7	302	11	-
	1883-84	9	11	1								
	1884-85	40	13	3								
	1885-86	145	3	9								
	1886-87	124	13	10								
390 4 -	1887-88	6	9	-	77	9	-					
	1888-89	11	1	3								
	1889-90	99	-	9								
390 4 -	-	437	4	11	77	9	-	514	3	11	-	-
		12			=							
8 5 to				1	0					31	-	-
8 4 6		30			a		89					
3		12			cess							
		to			a		& Sheristadar			617	11	5
					b		08					

124 9 11

124 9 11

Off: Dy: Commissr

HR

Sivaganga Temple, Nelamangal Taluk together
thems to

Village.	Amount of Katcha Potage. From what year.	At what rate.	Amount Rs. A. P.	Amount of Pacha Potage. From what year	At what rate.	Amount Rs. A. P.
		Fixed by the Survey Department.			Fixed by the Survey Department.	
Sivaganga	From 1878-79 to 1884-85	12 " " per year	84 " "	From 1885-86 to 1889-90 For both Villages	33 12 "	
Gowrapura	—Do—	1 12 " —Do—	12 4 "			
			96 4 "			168 12
Koothaghatta	—Do—	5 " " —Do—	35 " "	From 1885-86 to 1889-90	12 12 "	63 1
Ganganpura	—Do—	4 " " —Do—	28 " "	—Do—	11 12 "	58 1
Grand total	"	" " "	159 4	"	" " "	291 4
Sivaganga, Gowrapura, Koothaghatta, Ganganpura	—Do—	22 " " —Do—	154 " "	—Do—	47 4 "	236 4
			154 " "	"	" " "	236 4

Add allowance due to the Nijegal Sheikdar for
of the Inam villages at Rs: 5/- per mensem. From ja
sanctioned in para 8 of Government Proce dur

Balance to be recon

Deputy Commissioner's Office
Bangalore District
Dated 30th March 1891

Statement showing the details of arrears of Kandayem &c due by

Village & whose	Amount			Deduct Meras, if any, to be deducted			Total			Net Sum payable		
Kandayem due B												
Le Pe an												
Carana 1884-	1	"	3									
aya 1886-8	49	11	6									
rodee 1889-90	1	"	"							331	15	"
"				"	"	"	73	1	"			
Lar 1884-	5	8	3									
rodhee 1889-9	16	13	"									
	73	1	"									
rthera 1885-	10	8	1				"					
yaya 1886-87	18	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	78	4	5
rodhee 1889-90	46	3	6				74	11	7			
	74	11	7									
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	141	"	"
	14	1		"	"	"	14	12	"	531	3	5
1883-84 anu	9	11	1									
Carana 1884-	40	13	3									
rthera 1885-	145	3	9	7	9	"						
ruvaptu 87/88	6	9										
Vyabya 1886-8	124	13	10									
ruvdhan 1888-89	11	1	3									
erodhee		"										
	43	4	11	77	9	"	574	13	11	52	2	1.
	58	1	6	77	9	"	662	10	6	603	5	6
me Collection of the Inam Villages d in Para 8 of Government —										315	"	"
Total Rs due to the Village Servants and Sheikdar — —										918	5	6

Commissioner

compd
1 Bhanguese w Signer
Dat 1 20th March 1891

of Pakka Potagee du
Nelavangala Taluk, t

(Government) (2B)

Village	From wh ar	At what rate	Total
Sivaganga Gowrapura	From the 1878-7 1889-90	Rs- As- 33-12-" by the Survey Dep	405 " " 405
Kuthaghatta	Do	Rs- As- 12-12-" Do	153 " " 153
Gum apura	— Do	Rs- As- 11-12-" Do	141 " " 141 "
Total			6 " " 6 "
			to
Sivaganga Gowrapura Kuthaghutta Gangenapura.	—Do—	Rs- As- 47-4-" Do	567 " " 567 "
Total			56 " " 56 "
otal of Shanb	-		1266 " " 1266 "

Sir

Sid allowance due to rejegal Sheikdar for looking after the A at Rs 5 per mensem From January 1885 to march 1890 as sanctn Proceedings No: 6834-6/336 dated 30/16 november/12 1889 - - - -

Deputy Commissioner's Office
Bangalore District
Dated 28th march 1891

185

GOVERNMENT

Read —

Letter No. 935, dated 30th March 1890 from the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District.

No. 1976-9/50. of 16-5-91/21

Order thereon:— With the letter read above, the Deputy Commissioner submits proposals (with statements A and B) for the payment of Temporary and Permanent remuneration to the Patels & Shanbogues of the marginally noted Inam villages attached to the Sivaganga temple in the Nelamangala Taluk. These statements are based on the returns furnished by the Superintendent, Revenue Survey, in accordance with the Potagi

1 Sivaganga
2. Gowrapura
3. Kuchagatta
4 Gangenapura

village rules in force.

2 Statement A exhibits the amounts which would become payable to the said village officials by calculating the remuneration at the ten hereditary scale for the year from 1878-79 (the year of settlement of the villages) to 1884-85, and at the Government scale from 1885-86 (the year in which such remuneration was sanctioned) for the Government villages (the Taluk) to 1889-90 which year the management of the villages was transferred to the Court of the Scott. The statement B shows the amounts payable for the whole period of 12 years according to the former method of remuneration.

3. The total amount of the temporary and permanent remuneration payable to Patels calculated in the manner adopted in statement A as per instructions contained in Govt. Rev. No. 6834-6/336, dated 30th November 1889, comes to Rs 450-8-0 against which Rs 147-12-7. being the candayem stated to be due by them will be set off and the balance alone, viz, Rs. 302-11-5 — paid to them in cash. The sum of Rs 390-4-0 — payable to the Shanbogu will be deducted from Rs 514-13-11 due by him on account of candayem and Batayi Inras enjoyed by him subsequent to the Survey settlement of the villages.

villages. The allowance payable to the Shekdar of the Nijagal Hobli for looking after the revenue collections of the villages from January 1885 to March 1890, amounts to Rs 315, at Rs 5- per mensem as Sanctioned in the Govt, Proceedings above quoted. Thus the total cash disbursement to be now made would amount to Rs 617-11-5, as per details on the margin, and the payment of this sum out of the surplus and deposits of the temple, (viz Rs 1177-6 8) is here by Sanctioned, the necessary adjustments being made in the accounts

Total amount of Establishment & Contingencies	Landayam due to village officers	Balance brought forward to be deducted	Total of the two preceding cols	Balance payable	Remarks
2	3	4	5	6	7
Rs 550-8-0	1147-12-7	"	1147-12-7	302-11-5	
390-4-0	437-4-11	77-9-0	514-13-11	315-0-0	
				617-11-5	

Rs 1177-9-11

Rs 1177-9-11

Allowance to the Shekdar

Total

Rs 1177-9-11

Rs 1177-9-11

Rs 1177-9-11

132

accounts, as regards the sums, payable to, and recoverable from the Shanbogue

Revd Cr will report the name of the Karnikar to whom the amt thereof is payable

Chief Secretary.

To.

The Dy Commissioner Bangalore Dt returning the remuneration Statements prepared in the Survey Department.

The Comptroller on Impose.

Superintendent, Rev: Survey.

Superintendent, Inam Settlements.

(Drafted on the Secretary's order).

193

F

No.

The deputy Commr
Bangalore
Comptroller in Mysore
Superintendent
Revenue Survey
Superintendent
Inam Settlement

18 May 1891.

15-6-276 No. 1976-9
50.

Sanction the disbursement of
Rs. 6.11.2 as per details within,
out of the surplus & deposits of
the Sivaganga temple, as
temporary and permanent
remuneration to the Patels &
Accountant of certain Inam
villages attached to the said
temple

After issue, please send this
to the Munsif Branch for note.

3 1815

194

Ltr.

Asst Dr's Office

To be registered and submitted with translations of enclosed letters and programs and correspondence regarding the advance or loan made to the Swaziland Government from Govt Treasury, the Swaziland Government being kept under Crown Management

6/12/91

The letters quoted in the proceedings are not on the file. Please furnish. 9-1-92 K.G.

The General Register that the file connected the Restoration of Crown Lands of the Swaziland Native the management of its was submitted to the Secretary on the 11th March with General No. 5597 from H.C. Bangalore (No 4666 of 92

J.H.B. 18/12/19

Current Records

Please put up Proceedings No 11764/207 of 20-2-77

Proceedings No 6094/479 of 30-1-85 which are not in the file.

4-1-92 K.G.

No 6094/479 of 30-1-85 is in this file and Copy of 11764/207 of 20-2-77 is put up

J.H.B. 9/9/92

207 dy 2022 77

to the Current Records

send by C. Venkatasa
in the 21.8.83, with cl No
517 dy 15.9.83 from Dy Secy.
Bangalore.

Dy Secy

23/1/92

Urgent
Venkatesha.
Put up the reply.
23/1/92

To Current Records
23/1/92
Sir
The above papers

when
doing record
in the current reco
and they were
given to H. Venska
Tedjah on 23-9-8
for Y.C.V. 3481 24/13-8
as they were supplied
to him. The Genl
Register for the
said year may
shew that my above
representation is
true
E.W.
1912

Sir The papers as entd
in the Current Record
E.W.E
20-2-12

195

(General Serial No. 30).

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN Deputation
2432
17 JUIL. 91
136

189

From The Deputy Commissioner
Bangalore District

To The Chief Secretary
to the Dewan of Mysore

Dated 15th
Despatched } June 91
Received

Enclosures No.

General, No.
No. 209.

With reference to para 4 of Government Proceedings No 1976-9/50 dated 21st Ultimo, has the honor to state that the allowance of Rs 315/- sanctioned to the Sheikdar, who looked after the collections and other work of the Inam villages attached to the Sivagunga Temple, Nelamangala Taluk, during the period they were under the Government management is payable to the individual noted in the margin, who

From 1st January /85 to 25th July /89 Sheikdar Rungaradas for 4 - 6 - 25 at Rs 5/- per Mizaan Rs. 2
" 26th July /89 to 8th August /89 Sheikdar Puttanaryappa for " - " - 14 — do — "
" 9th August /89 to 31st March /90 Sheikdar Narasimah for " - 7 - 22 — do — 38-11-
Total Rs 315=

Accounts Dy
17/6/91

Recd
22/6/91

Submitted for information.
Mismaps filed.
24/6

20/6/91

131
DA-1-11

are

for the

Offg: Dy

with

(General Serial No. 32.)

1892. 3

No. 909

Name of Petitioner. Sivaganga Zamin

Village. Sivaganga

Taluk. Nelamangala

District. Bangalore

Date of Arzi. 12 - 6 - 92

Date of Receipt. 21 - 6 - 92

Date of Disposal.

No. of Enclosures. Nil

ABSTRACT.

States that it is inconvenient for His Holiness Durga Bangalore now for the performance of Sandarpana that His Holiness will visit this place after a few months through Huntpur &c, Requests that Rs 200/- paid monthly to the Matt for Expenses may be credited towards the debt of the Zamin

MYSORE DIWAN'S DEPOT
REVENUE DEPARTMENT
RECEIVED 909
DATE 21-6-95

198

20.6.95

137

ಶ್ರೀಮತ್ಪರಮಹಂಸ ಪರಿವ್ರಾಜಕಾಚಾರ್ಯವರ್ಯ ಪದವಾಕ್ಯಪ್ರ
ಮಾಣ ನಿರಾವಾರ ವಾಗ್ವಿಣ ಯಮನಿಯಮಾಸನ ಪ್ರಾಣಾಯಾಮ ಪ್ರತ್ಯಾ
ಹಾರ ಧ್ಯಾನದಾರಣ ಸಮಾಧ್ಯಷ್ಟಾಂಗ ಯೋಗಾನು-ಷ್ಠಾನ ನಿಷ್ಣಾತರಿಷ್ಮ
ತವಚ್ಚಕ್ರವರ್ತ್ಯನಾಧ್ಯವಿಚ್ಛಿನ್ನ ಗುರು ಪರಂಪರಾಪ್ರಾಪ್ತ ಮದ್ವರ್ಕನಸಸ್ಥಾ
ಪನಾಚಾರ್ಯ ವ್ಯಾಖ್ಯಾನ ಸಿಂಹಾಸನಾಧೀಶ್ವರ ಸಕಲ ವೇದಾರ್ಥ ಪ್ರಕಾಶಕ
ಸಾಂಖ್ಯತ್ರಯಾ ಪ್ರತಿಪಾಲಕ ಸಕಲ ನಿಗಮಾಗಮ ಸಾರಹೃದಯ ವೈದಿಕ
ಮಾರ್ಗವ ಪ್ರವರ್ತಕ ಸರ್ವತಂತ್ರ ಸ್ವತಂತ್ರಾದಿ ರಾಜಧಾನೀ ಶ್ರೀಮದ್ವಿದ್ಯಾ
ನಗರ ಮಹಾರಾಜಧಾನೀ ಕರ್ಣಾಟಕ ಸಿಂಹಾಸನ ಪ್ರತಿಷ್ಠಾಪನಾಚಾರ್ಯ
ಶ್ರೀಮದ್ರಾಜಾಧಿರಾಜ ಗುರು ಭೂಮಂಡಲಾಚಾರ್ಯ ತುಂಗಭದ್ರಾ ತೀರ
ವಾಸಯುಷ್ಮತ್ಪಾದಂಗ ಪ್ರವರಾಧೀಶ್ವರ ಶ್ರೀ ಶೃಂಗೇರೀ ಶ್ರೀಮದ್ವಿದ್ಯಾತೀರ್ಥ
ಮಾದವಪದ್ಮಾರಾಧಕ ಶ್ರೀ ಶೃಂಗೇರೀ ಶ್ರೀ ಶಿವಗಂಗಾ ಶ್ರೀಗಂಗಾಧರಭಾರತೀ
ಸ್ವಾಮಿನಾಂ ಕರಕಮಲ ಸಂಜಾತ ಶ್ರೀ ಶೃಂಗೇರೀ ಶ್ರೀ ಶಿವಗಂಗಾ
ಶ್ರೀ ಸುಬ್ರಹ್ಮಣ್ಯಾಭಿನವ ಸಚ್ಚಿದಾನಂದ ಭಾರತೀ ಸ್ವಾಮಿಭಿಃ

200

(General Serial No. 30). 182

189 32

From The Dy Commr
Bangalore

To The Secretary
Dewan of Mysore Br

Dated 22d June 92

Despatched 23rd Do

Received

Enclosures No. /

General No.

D No. 305

Has the
to forward
information, Sree
- nuka No 679
17th Instant from
the Guru of the
Suragunga Mat
intimating that
he has gone towar
Hindupur and
other places, at the
request of the
Votaries, instead
of towards Bangalo

Having heard

for Dy Commr

701

6158

17-492

ಶ್ರೀ ಪುತ್ತಿಗೆಮಠದ ಪರಿವ್ರಾಜಕಾಚಾರ್ಯವರ್ಯ ಪದವಾಕ್ಯ
ಪ್ರಮಾಣ ಪಾರಾವಾರ ಪಾರೀಣ ಯಮನಿಯಮಾಸನ ಪ್ರಾಣಾಯಾಮ ಪ್ರ
ತ್ಯಾಹಾರ ಧ್ಯಾನಧಾರಣ ಸಮಾಧ್ಯಷ್ಟಾಂಗ ಯೋಗಾನುಷ್ಠಾನ ನಿಷ್ಠಾಗರಿಷ್ಠ
ತವಚ್ಚಕ್ರಾನರ್ತ್ಯನಾದ್ಯನಿಚ್ಚಿನ್ನ ಗುರು ಪರಂಪರಾಪ್ರಾಪ್ತ ಪದ್ಧರ್ಕನಸ್ಥಾ
ಪನಾಚಾರ್ಯ ವಾಙ್ಮಾನ ಸಿಂಹಾಸನಾಧೀಶ್ವರ ಸಕಲ ಶಾಸ್ತ್ರಾರ್ಥ ಪ್ರಕಾಶಕ
ಸ್ಥಾಂಖ್ಯತ್ರಯಿಮಾ ಪ್ರಶಿಷ್ಯಾಲೋಕ ಸಕಲ ನಿಗಮಾಗಮ ಸಾರಹೃದಯ ವೈದಿಕ
ಮಾರ್ಗಸ್ಥ ವರ್ತಕ ಸರ್ವತಂತ್ರ ಸ್ವತಂತ್ರಾವಿ ರಾಜಮಾನೀ ಶ್ರೀ ಮದ್ವಿದ್ಯಾ
ನಗರ ಮಹಾರಾಜಧಾನೀ ಕರ್ಣಾಟಕ ಸಿಂಹಾಸನ ಪ್ರತಿಷ್ಠಾಪನಾಚಾರ್ಯ
ಶ್ರೀ ಮದ್ರಾಜಾಧಿರಾಜ ಗುರು ಭೂಮಂಡಲಾಚಾರ್ಯ ತುಂಗಭದ್ರಾ ತೀರ
ವಾಸ ಋಷ್ಯಶೃಂಗ ಪ್ರದೇಶಾಧೀಶ್ವರ ಶ್ರೀ ಶೃಂಗೇರೀ ಶ್ರೀಮದ್ವಿದ್ಯಾಶಂಕರ
ಭಾರತೀಪಾದಾರಾಧಕ ಶ್ರೀ ಶೃಂಗೇರೀ ಶ್ರೀ ಶಿವಗಂಗಾ ಶ್ರೀ ಗಂಗಾಧರಭಾರತೀ
ಸ್ವಾಮಿನಾಂ ಕರಕಮಲ ಸಂಜಾತ ಶ್ರೀ ಶೃಂಗೇರೀ ಶ್ರೀ ಶಿವಗಂಗಾ
ಶ್ರೀಮದ್ಬ್ರಹ್ಮಣ್ಯಾಭಿನವಸಚ್ಚಿದಾನಂದ ಭಾರತೀಸ್ವಾಮಿಭಿಃ

ಸಾಕ್ಷಿದಾರರು

ಬರೆದುಕೊಟ್ಟವರು

(General Serial No. 29.)

OFFICE OF THE ... BENGALORE

1892 APR 25

From Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore dist

To The Secretary to the Dewan of Mysore.

Dated 20th April 1892.

Despatched 25 APR 1892

Received 26 APR 1892

Enclosures No 2

General No. 1481

No. 66 } 1892-93.

With reference to Government Proceedings No 1976-9 c 50 dated 16/21 March 1891, I have the honor to forward herewith for sanction and transmission to the Comptroller for audit, a bill for Rs 302-11-5, being arrears of remuneration due to Patels of the Inam Villages attached in the following

Reference as 22/4/92

Dear Sir

No 22

20/-

117-8

to the Surveyors
for the years 1878-79
& 1889-90, as sanctioned
therein. -

W. Armand Reed

For Deputy Commissioner

WMR

(General Serial No. 30.) Mudgrai

259

189 2.

From

To The Dy Commr
Bangalore Dist

Dated 29th April

Despatched 2nd May 1892

Received

Enclosures No.

General No. 1141

No 7

His No. 66 dated 18th instant submitting for sanction a bill for Rs. 302-11-3 being the arrears of remuneration due to the Patels of the Inam villages attached to the Siva-ganga temple, in the Nelamangala Taluk.

— u —

Referring to the above observes that a sum of Rs. 22-14-10 is deposited in the Taluk Treasury and requests information on the following.

209

following from
(1) How what sum
the sum of Rs. 22-14
was deposited
Taluk Treasury.
(2) Whether it is the
balance of Govt.
allowance or of the
receipts realized
from Inam land
(3) How long since
it is held in deposit

By order

Secretary

C.V.

on the
Villages at
As the
Sivaganga Temple
deposited in
the Treasury for
Temple expenses
& the time of in the
handing over of the
charge of the Temple
villages to the Guru
in the month of
May 1890.

Yr. Humble
Servt.
for Commissioner

23-3 1 2-25

(General Serial No 30.)

18[illegible]



From MYSORE
The D
Banj

To The Secretary to t
Dewan of Mr
Mysoi

Dated 21st
Despatched 23rd } May
Received

Enclosures No.

General No.
D No. 190.

In reply
to his docket N
141 dated 2d. Inst
calling for parti
culars regarding
the item of Rupe
22. 14. 10, deposite
in the Nalamau
gala Taluk Treasury
has the honor to
state that it is
reported by the
Amildar to be the

16th May 1891 sanction the payment of Rs 302 to the Patels of the Inc villages attached to the -gadhariswara Swami at Sivaganga, Nelam Taluk, out of the remune of Rs 450-8-0 payab them for 12 years from 18 to 1889-90, the differenc 147-12-7, viz, the cand amount due by them set off

Docket No: 66 date April 1892, from the Commissioner, Banga District, submitting for Rs 302-11-5, be arrears of remunerator to the Patels of the sa

thereon No 117-8 (General Serial No 30)
5 26/4/92
The Bill for Rs 302-11-5 From P 1892.

sanctioned and forwarded

Comptroller for audit,

charge being met as

follows:—

To The Dy Comm^r &
Asst Comptroller
Bangalore

Dated 26
Despatched 29 April 1892
Received

Enclosures No. 2
General No 117-8
No 5

the Surplus-Fund of the Temple } Rs as 279-12-7

Deposit amount of the Taluk } 22-14-10

Total Rs 302-11-5

Sanctioning a bill for Rs 302/11/5 being the arrears of remuneration due to the Patels of the Inam Villages attached to the Gangadhareswara Swamy

Secretary

by Comm^r of the Bangalore temple at Sivaganga,

District Nelamangala Taluk.

Comptroll in mysore with bill & the treasury officer's certificate.